

# Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

## Minutes of the Meeting of March 19, 2009

### 1. Call to Order

The Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC) was called to order at 11:35 a.m., Thursday, March 19, 2009, at Golden Valley City Hall by Chair Welch. Ms. Herbert conducted roll call.

#### Roll Call

<i>Crystal</i>	Commissioner Pauline Langsdorf, Secretary	<i>Counsel</i>	Charlie LeFevere
<i>Golden Valley</i>	Commissioner Linda Loomis	<i>Engineer</i>	Len Kremer
<i>Medicine Lake</i>	<i>Not represented</i>	<i>Recorder</i>	Amy Herbert
<i>Minneapolis</i>	Commissioner Michael Welch, Chair		
<i>Minnetonka</i>	Commissioner Kris Sundberg		
<i>New Hope</i>	Commissioner Daniel Stauner		
<i>Plymouth</i>	Commissioner Ginny Black, Vice Chair		
<i>Robbinsdale</i>	Alternate Commissioner Wayne Sicora		
<i>St. Louis Park</i>	Commissioner Manuel Jordan		

Also present:

- Laura Adler, BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee, City of St. Louis Park
- Derek Asche, BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee, City of Plymouth
- Kevin Christian, Association of Medicine Lake Area Citizens and Plymouth resident
- Terrie Christian, Association of Medicine Lake Area Citizens and Plymouth resident
- Jeannine Clancy, BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee, City of Golden Valley
- Craig Degendorfer, Plymouth resident
- Linda Degendorfer, Plymouth resident
- Jack Frost, Metropolitan Council
- Dave Hanson, Alternate Commissioner, City of Golden Valley
- Tom Mathisen, BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee, City of Crystal
- Richard McCoy, BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee, City of Robbinsdale
- Stu Stockhaus, Alternate Commissioner, City of Crystal
- Liz Stout, BCWMC Technical Advisory Committee, City of Minnetonka
- Elizabeth Thornton, Alternate Commissioner, City of Plymouth

### 2. Approval of Agenda and Consent Agenda

Ms. Sundberg moved to approve the agenda. Ms. Black seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote]. Chair Welch requested the removal of the financial report from the Consent Agenda in order for the Commission to discuss the report during the discussion of Agenda item 7A – BCWMC 2008 and 2009 Operating Budget Review. Ms. Loomis requested the removal of the meeting minutes. Chair Welch stated the Commission could just dispense with this month's Consent Agenda unless any commissioner objected. There were no objections.

### 3. Citizen Input on Non-Agenda Items

No citizen input on non-agenda items.

### 3. Administration

A. Presentation of the February 19, 2009, BCWMC meeting minutes. Ms. Loomis stated that page 2 of the February 19, 2009, meeting minutes did not accurately reflect her intent to make the motion to annotate the January 15, 2009, meeting minutes to convey corrected information and to also amend the minutes as requested by Chair Welch and to approve the January 15, 2009, minutes as amended. Ms. Loomis moved to approve the February 19, 2009, meeting minutes with those amendments. Mr. Jordan seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

B. Presentation of the Financial Statement. Discussed under Agenda item 7A [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote].

The general and construction account balances reported in the March 2009 Financial Report are as follows:

Checking Account Balance	693,947.50
<u>TOTAL GENERAL FUND BALANCE</u>	<u>693,947.50</u>
Construction Account Balance	2,902,812.39
<u>TOTAL CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNT BALANCE</u>	<u>2,902,812.39</u>
<u>-Less: Reserved for CIP projects</u>	<u>3,636,141.12</u>
<i>Construction cash/investments available for projects</i>	<i>(733,328.73)</i>

C. Presentation of Invoices for Payment Approval.

Invoices:

- i. Kennedy & Graven – Legal Services through January 31, 2009 - invoice for the amount of \$807.70.
- ii. Barr Engineering Company – February Engineering Services - invoice for the amount of \$25,771.50.
- iii. Barr Engineering Company – February Sweeney Lake TMDL Phase II Services - invoice for the amount of \$479.55.
- iv. Amy Herbert – February Recording Administrator Services - invoice for the amount of \$3,055.05.
- v. City of Golden Valley – Partial Reimbursement for Northwood East Sediment Pond – invoice for the amount of \$60,218.68.
- vi. City of Golden Valley – Partial Reimbursement for Northwood East Sediment Pond – invoice for the amount of \$60,218.68.
- vii. City of Plymouth – Reimbursement from Channel Maintenance Fund for Plymouth Creek Fish Barrier repair – invoice for the amount of \$ 38,823.35.
- viii. Pauline Langsdorf – Reimbursement for Education Tabletop Exhibit Expenses – invoice in the amount of 12.83.

- ix. Metro WaterShed Partners – 2009 Watershed Education Partnership – Invoice in the amount of \$5,000.
- x. Three Rivers Park District – removed from invoices because invoice previously paid
- xi. Finance and Commerce – Public Communication of Public Hearing Notice – invoice in the amount of \$132.92.
- xii. Sun Newspapers – Public Communication of Public Hearing Notice – invoice in the amount of \$321.75.

Chair Welch removed invoice x – Three Rivers Park District because staff reported it had already been paid. Ms. Loomis moved to approve all of the remaining invoices. Mr. Stauner seconded the motion. By call of roll, the motion carried unanimously [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote].

- D. Contract with Hennepin County Environmental Services for 2009 River Watch Participation. Chair Welch passed around to the commissioners a copy of the contract that contained two revisions from the contract included in the meeting packet. The commissioners reviewed the revised copy. Ms. Loomis moved to approve entering into the contract with Hennepin County Environmental Services for the 2009 River Watch program. Mr. Stauner seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote].
- E. Review of BCWMC CIP Reserve Account Policy and Balance. Chair Welch noted that the policy and meeting minutes detailing the Commission’s action to adopt the policy were included in the meeting packet. Mr. Kremer said the estimated balance in the closed CIP project account is more than \$300,000. He said a more detailed accounting could be done to provide a more precise figure. Mr. Kremer explained that it is difficult to provide a precise figure. He explained that over time payments trickle in from Hennepin County’s ad valorem assessment and also there can be projects that have been completed but have maintenance components that extend out a few years so those reimbursement requests extend over a number of years. Mr. Kremer said also there can be projects like the Wirth Lake project that were partially completed a few years ago but due to issues the project hasn’t been totally finished and can’t be closed out. Chair Welch asked if the Commission could take a snapshot in time of the closed account balance before the Commission sets the levy request and then base the levy request on that amount. Mr. Kremer said yes.

Chair Welch said the Commission does its best to levy exactly what the Commission needs to in order to maintain the \$250,000 CIP closed account balance but it is difficult to do because of lag times on tax payments to the County and on reimbursement requests to the Commission for work on the CIP projects.

Chair Welch commented that in the past reviews of the policy, the Commission had generally agreed on the policy and the debate had centered on the amount of the account balance. Ms. Black stated that she had some minor editorial changes to the policy and read her edits to the Commission.

Chair Welch asked the Commission if it wanted to keep item III E in the policy [“Partial funding of TMDL study costs if the Commission has sufficient information to determine with reasonable assurance that the TMDL study will identify, plan, design, or redesign capital projects to be funded with a County tax levy.”] and continue to have two ways to fund TMDL studies or if the Commission wants exclusively to use the funding that is moved from the Commission’s Administrative Account into the Construction Account for the TMDL studies.

Ms. Loomis responded that she's reluctant to change the policy because later on in the meeting the Commission will be discussing a potential watershed-wide TMDL, which may be an appropriate use for the funding described in item III E of the Closed Account policy. Chair Welch said he is inclined to leave it in there because the Commission may end up wanting to fund TMDLs through that means and also for purposes of clarity and transparency to the public it makes sense to clarify it in a policy. Chair Welch said he wasn't hearing from the Commission any interest in substantive changes to the policy or changes to the account balance set in the policy.

Ms. Black moved to approve the policy with the minor editorial changes to the policy that she previously read to the Commission. Ms. Loomis seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote].

## 4. Public Hearing

Chair Welch stated that the BCWMC is holding this public hearing to receive public testimony and comments of member cities regarding proposed improvements identified in the proposed minor plan amendment to the BCWMC's *Watershed Management Plan*:

- Change to Crane Lake and Oak Knoll Pond Flood Elevations
- Change to Parkers Lake Water Quality Goal
- Restoration of Plymouth Creek (PC-1) from 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue to Medicine Lake in the City of Plymouth – estimated cost of improvement: \$850,000
- Restoration of Plymouth Creek (PC-2) from 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue to 37<sup>th</sup> Avenue in the City of Plymouth – estimated cost of improvement: \$559,000
- Restoration of the Main Stem of Bassett Creek from Highway 100 to Regent Avenue – estimated cost of improvement: \$780,000.

Ms. Black moved to open the public hearing. Ms. Langsdorf seconded the motion. Mr. Kremer announced that three changes need to be made to the draft letter to the Board of Water and Soil Resources included in the meeting packet:

- The Main Stem project starts at the Crystal boundary upstream of Highway 100 (page 1 of letter)
- The total estimated cost of the three projects is \$2,304,000 (page 2 of letter)
- Of that total estimated cost, the cost of the two Plymouth Creek projects is estimated to be \$1,524,000 (page 2 of letter)

Mr. Kremer explained that the revised costs include right-of-way costs, which weren't included in the original project costs. Mr. Kremer said there is a 75-day review period on the minor plan amendment request because Hennepin County requested 75-day review of projects in excess of a certain amount [\$500,000] and the Commission agreed to that request in the development of the Commission's *Watershed Management Plan*. Mr. Kremer said the Commission may not get the official response from Hennepin County until June. He stated that the request will be reviewed by Hennepin County, the Metropolitan Council, the Board of Water and Soil Resources, the member cities, and other agencies. Chair Welch said that the Commission should clarify when it communicates to the Board of Water and Soil Resources that the Commission is granting a 75-day review because of the Commission's agreement with Hennepin County.

Mr. Asche of the City of Plymouth gave a presentation at the Commission's request and showed slides about the proposed Plymouth Creek restoration project. Mr. Asche said the City of Plymouth held a public meeting on the project on March 2, 2009. Mr. Asche described the problems resulting from the erosion of the creek, the goals of the restoration project, the project timeline, erosion and sedimentation

examples, and project funding. Mr. Asche said people can subscribe to an automatic e-mail update service regarding the project, which is a good way to get news on the project.

Mr. Christian asked if it is possible for the construction to be done in a way that existing trees and plants can remain. Mr. Asche responded that existing trees are tricky issues in creek restoration projects. He explained that trees whose roots have been exposed have adapted to those conditions and likely wouldn't survive if their roots were covered by dirt. He said the plan is to try to save as many existing trees as possible but the worst case scenario is to try to save a tree that doesn't survive and the City has to come back at a later date to try to fix a dangerous situation. Mr. Asche said it will really depend on the particular situation at each portion of the project. Chair Welch said also the reality is that equipment does need to get down to the project site.

Mr. Degendorfer, a Plymouth resident along the Plymouth Creek, commented that when he built the house around 25 years ago the creek behind their property was very small and now it is huge. He said over the years two or three large trees have fallen into his property due to exposed roots from bank erosion and that now the 15-foot high creek banks are getting close to eating into his property due to the continued erosion. He and his wife spoke in support of the restoration project and commented the sooner the better.

Ms. Christian said AMLAC (the Association of Medicine Lake Area Citizens) is very excited about the project. She stated that 315 tons of total suspended solids enter Medicine Lake at Plymouth Creek each year according to a Barr study done in 2000. Ms. Christian said she is speaking on behalf of AMLAC for getting the project started and done.

Chair Welch asked Mr. Asche about his confidence in the cost estimate. Ms. Black responded to the question and said currently costs are coming in 20% - 25% under estimated costs. Ms. Black said hopefully the project cost estimate will be right on target, but the unknowns of the economy and the stimulus package could affect the cost especially since the project's construction doesn't happen until 2010. Mr. Asche said he is comfortable with the estimated project cost with the exception of the wetland mitigation costs, which are always a wild card and difficult to predict.

Ms. Black moved to close the public hearing. Mr. Jordan seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

## **5. New Business**

- A. Mr. LeFevere clarified that this public hearing is a technical requirement to qualify the amendment as a minor plan amendment and to approve it to send out for comments.

Ms. Black said the letter doesn't provide a timetable of the projects' estimated construction dates. Mr. Kremer responded that the construction dates aren't relevant to BWSR or Hennepin County because the dates are a matter for the Commission and the cities to decide. Mr. LeFevere said the important piece for the Commission is getting the projects on the CIP because changing the year of construction of a CIP project doesn't require a minor plan amendment which gives the Commission flexibility with dates.

Ms. Black remarked that the project cost listed for the Bassett Creek Main Stem restoration project does not include wetland mitigation costs and reminded the Commission that mitigation could add \$100,000 or more to the project cost.

Ms. Loomis moved to approve staff sending out the minor plan amendment request to the proper reviewing bodies as listed in the March 9, 2009, Engineer's Memo. Mr. Jordan seconded the

motion [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote].

- B. Watershed-wide TMDL.** Chair Welch stated that the MPCA held a meeting regarding watershed-wide TMDLs and that Mr. Kremer attended the meeting. Chair Welch reminded the Commission that the meeting packet contained a memo dated March 9, 2009, regarding a TMDL study for the remaining Bassett Creek watershed impairments.

Mr. Kremer stated that the MPCA wants to complete the TMDLs and all of the impairments on a watershed-wide basis rather than handling each TMDL study on an individual basis due to cost and time advantages. Mr. Kremer said the purpose of the MPCA's meeting was to encourage watershed management districts and watershed management organizations to proceed with the completion of all the impairments on a watershed-wide basis. He said two watersheds are in the process of completing all the rest of their impairments on a watershed-wide basis: Elm Creek Watershed Management Organization (WMO) and Minnehaha Creek Watershed District.

Mr. Kremer said Elm Creek is working with the MPCA and has a five-year project to address all of their impairments. He reported that the estimated cost of the project is about \$500,000, of which \$150,000 will be paid by the MPCA and the rest of the cost will be paid by the Three Rivers Park District and the Elm Creek WMO. Mr. Kremer said the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District is conducting a watershed-wide TMDL and is participating with the MPCA and the Environmental Protection Agency. He said the cost of the project is approximately \$160,000 and is being shared 50-50 between the MPCA and the EPA.

Mr. Kremer said there are three existing impairments in the Bassett Creek watershed: fish and fecal coliform for Bassett Creek and nutrients for Northwood Lake. Mr. Kremer said he suspects that in the next couple years Bassett Creek will be listed as impaired for chlorides and by 2011 a phosphorus impairment may need to be addressed, meaning that the watershed-wide TMDL may be addressing up to five impairments or more if additional years of data from watershed lakes show three years of impairment. Mr. Kremer said if the Commission is interested in pursuing the watershed-wide TMDL, it would prepare a scope of work for the TMDL to address all existing impairments and would be eligible for funding in 2011.

Mr. Kremer said that Ramsey Washington and Coon Creek is setting up a meeting with the EPA to see how the TMDL process can be made simpler and he said he thinks that meeting likely will be set up in the next six months.

Chair Welch suggested having someone from Elm Creek WMO or Minnehaha Creek Watershed District come to speak about their experience with the watershed-wide TMDL. Mr. Kremer commented that he thought the Minnehaha TMDL would be more similar to the kind of TMDL the BCWMC would develop and so he suggested that the Commission have either someone from Minnehaha Creek or a project manager from the MPCA come to speak to the Commission.

Mr. Sicora asked what the Commission's timeframe should be if it wants to be eligible for MPCA funding. Mr. Kremer said the Commission would want the scope developed in 2010 and that the MPCA would want to know within the next six months that the Commission is interested in doing the watershed-wide TMDL and then the MPCA may set aside some funding for it. Ms. Black recommended that the TMDL and cost be part of this May's 2010 operating budget discussion. Chair Welch directed staff to contact the MPCA or the Minnehaha Watershed District.

## 6. Old Business

- A. **BCWMC 2008 and 2009 Operating Budget Review.** Ms. Loomis presented an overview of the fiscal year-end report. Chair Welch noted that the Commission spent \$102,000 less than it budgeted and commented that the Commission needs to hit its mark better than that going forward. Chair Welch directed Ms. Herbert to send the fiscal year-end 2008 final financial report in the April meeting packet as background information as commissioners consider what changes should be made for the 2010 Operating Budget, which will be discussed at the April Commission meeting. By consent the Commission approved to receive and file the March 2009 financial report.
- B. **2009 CAMP (Citizen-Assisted Monitoring Program) Participation.** Ms. Loomis noted that no one has volunteered to sample South Rice Lake in Golden Valley for the 2009 sampling season. Chair Welch moved to approve participating in the Metropolitan Council Environmental Services CAMP program for the following four lakes at the cost to the BCWMC of \$550 per lake: Northwood Lake, Parkers Lake, Sweeney Lake, and Westwood Lake. Ms. Black seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously [City of Medicine Lake absent from vote]. Chair Welch stated that the Commission could take action at another meeting to add South Rice Lake if a volunteer is found.
- C. **TAC Recommendations.** Mr. Jordan was the Commission liaison at the March 5, 2009, TAC meeting and gave a summary of the four items discussed at the meeting. He also recommended commissioners attend at least one TAC meeting for the learning experience.
- i. **2009 Watershed Tour.** The TAC's recommendations for the watershed tour will be discussed at the April BCWMC meeting.
  - ii. **Cities' Permit Approval Processes and 60-day Review Period and how they integrate with the BCWMC's Review Processes.** Chair Welch recommended that the Commission either form an ad hoc committee to work over a specific period of time with the TAC to bring back recommendations to the Commission and or work with Mr. LeFevere to structure the review period process appropriately.

Chair Welch said there is a statutory provision that decisions on land use resources and zoning issues need to be made within 60 days. He said that in his opinion the Commission is not clearly granted a separate review of 60 days because the Commission is not a separate permitting entity. Chair Welch said the Commission gives advisory opinions tied to the member cities. He said the issue of the Commission's approval is addressed in the Commission's *Watershed Management Plan* but not in the Joint Powers Agreement. Chair Welch stated he thinks there should be more definition on how the Commission's review functions, who is tracking the 60 days, and within whose 60 days falls the Commission's review. He said right now everyone is not on the same page regarding project reviews but everyone ought to be.

Mr. LeFevere recommended that he, Chair Welch, and any interested TAC member, talk with Mr. Herbert at Barr Engineering regarding how the 60 days are tracked by the Commission and then they can develop a recommendation for the Commission. The Commission agreed to Mr. LeFevere's recommendation.

[Commissioner Sundberg departs.]

- iii. **BCWMC's Water Quality Goals for all Waterbodies in Watershed.** Chair Welch stated that the recommendation in the March 12, 2009, TAC memo was that the BCWMC's water quality goals should align with the State of Minnesota/ MPCA goals and

that as TMDLs are completed, water quality goals should get adjusted as needed. He stated the TAC also recommended that the Commission set a no-degradation/ anti-degradation goal for BCWMC waterbodies and that the Commission should put the new water quality goals in place in the Commission's next generation *Watershed Management Plan*. Mr. Kremer stated he thinks aligning the Commission's goals with the state's goals makes sense because if the Commission has a significantly higher goal and isn't meeting that goal then the Commission could potentially have a lot of negative exposure.

- iv. **Water Quality Modeling and Funding.** Mr. Kremer said the MPCA has been bouncing ideas off of cities and has been looking for feedback as the MPCA works on the Lake Pepin TMDL implementation plan. He said one idea is that a new MS4 stormwater permit provision would require cities to monitor water quality and to maintain water quality models that would document their progress toward achieving the reductions required by the Lake Pepin plan. He said the TAC recommends the BCWMC keep water quality models up-to-date so they can be used by the member cities to comply with future TMDL and MS4 permit requirements. Chair Welch said he thinks a watershed basis for models makes the models better and is a good service for the BCWMC to provide to the cities. Mr. LeFevere noted that the TAC recommended the Commission send letters to cities asking for a status report on the cities' progress toward amending their official controls and he suggested that he and Chair Welch draft the letter. The Commission agreed to Mr. LeFevere's suggestion.

D. **BCWMC Missions and Goals.** Deferred to April meeting.

E. **Medicine Lake TMDL Study Update.** Chair Welch said there was a stakeholder meeting last week and there was a lot of discussion about modeling and the project is waiting for numbers to come out of the modeling process. He said there has also been a lot of discussion about what criteria will be used in the load allocation process and what fairness in terms of load allocation means to each of the stakeholders. Chair Welch announced that the next Medicine Lake stakeholder meeting will be held on April 9<sup>th</sup>.

F. **Recommendations for BCWMC's Web Site.** Ms. Langsdorf said the Education and Outreach Committee recommends the Commission revise the links page to organize the links under categories and provided category names to use on the page. Chair Welch made the motion that Ms. Herbert to make the revisions as discussed and as outlined in the Education and Outreach Committee's memo in the meeting packet. Ms. Black seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously [Cities of Medicine Lake and Minnetonka absent from vote].

## 7. Communications

A. **Chair:**

- i. Chair Welch reported that he has asked Ms. Herbert to work with Ms. Chandler of Barr to draft an introduction or executive summary to the BCWMC's annual report that is formatted as a summary of the Commission's activities over the year.
- ii. Chair Welch stated that information just came in from Brooke Asleson of the MPCA stating that SEH, Inc. is over budget in some aspect of the Phase II Sweeney Lake TMDL work but that he doesn't have any details at this time. Mr. Kremer said he thinks it is a misunderstanding. Mr. LeFevere recommended the Commission encourage SEH and MPCA to discuss the issue and that the Commission could add to its April meeting agenda an update on the Sweeney Lake TMDL project budget.

**B. Commissioners: No Commissioner Communications.**

**C. Committees:**

- i. Budget:** Chair Welch suggested the Budget Committee meet in April prior to the April 16<sup>th</sup> BCWMC meeting and directed Ms. Herbert to coordinate a meeting date with the Committee members.
- ii. Education:** Ms. Langsdorf reported that she and Ms. Thornton represented the BCWMC at the Plymouth Environmental Quality Fair. She announced that the Commission will have a booth at the Plymouth Yard and Garden Expo on April 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup>. Ms. Langsdorf stated that the Crystal Environmental Quality Committee would be viewing the Commission's education exhibit that evening. She announced the Education Committee will be meeting on April 7<sup>th</sup> at 8:30 a.m. at Golden Valley City Hall.
- iii. Administrative Services:** Chair Welch reported that at its last meeting the Committee discussed the BCWMC's missions and goals. He stated that the Committee plans to schedule another meeting in order to discuss BCWMC's administrative needs and would like Ms. Herbert to attend the meeting to discuss taking on some administrator-oriented tasks.

**D. Counsel\***

**E. Engineer:**

- i. Mr. Kremer** said the BCWMC received a letter this week from the Hennepin Conservation District regarding a Hennepin County Conservation Forum to be held on April 9, 2009, as an opportunity for all WMOs and watershed districts in Hennepin County to discuss conservation issues regarding land and water resources in Hennepin County and also the potential impact of the Clean Water, Land, and Legacy Amendment on conservation activities in Hennepin County. He said Hennepin County wants to organize all of the WMOs in the County to submit their conservation projects over the next five years as a group. Mr. Kremer said HCD wants to coordinate the efforts of the various groups that are going to allocate Clean Water Legacy and Heritage Fund monies toward funding these projects so that more money from those sources can be focused on projects in Hennepin County. Mr. Kremer said the HCD is requesting by March 27<sup>th</sup> a list of the BCWMC's priorities for the next five years and what conservation issues the BCWMC thinks need to be addressed in Hennepin County.

Chair Welch recommended the Commission respond to the HCD with a letter stating that the Commission may be interested but the Commission's timeline did not allow appropriate consideration of the matter before the HCD's deadline. Mr. Kremer recommended that someone from the Commission attend the meeting. Ms. Black volunteered to attend the meeting on the Commission's behalf. Chair Welch said he would like the Commission Engineer to attend and stated that he would also try to attend. Chair Welch asked Ms. Herbert to e-mail him a copy of the letter from the HCD.

- ii. Mr. Kremer** announced that Hennepin County introduced legislation yesterday, SF 1673, asking for appropriation of \$1,250,000 in fiscal year 2010 to assist WMOs and watershed districts in Hennepin County to restore water quality and to do stream restoration.

## 8. Adjournment

Ms. Black moved to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Loomis seconded the motion. The meeting adjourned at 2:35 p.m.

---

Michael Welch, Chair

Date

---

Amy Herbert, Recorder

Date

---

Pauline Langsdorf, Secretary

Date