Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act
Notice of Application

Local Government Unit (LGU)  
City of Plymouth

Address  
3400 Plymouth Blvd.  
Plymouth, MN 55447

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Date of Application</th>
<th>Application Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominium Acquisition, LLC</td>
<td>Four Seasons Mall Redevelopment</td>
<td>9/10/2019</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type of Application (check all that apply):

☒ Wetland Boundary or Type  ☐ No-Loss  ☐ Exemption  ☐ Replacement Plan  ☐ Banking Plan

Summary and description of proposed project (attach additional sheets as necessary):

One wetland was delineated on-site. Wetland 1 is classified as a PEMICd, shallow marsh wetland dominated by hybrid cattail, tussock sedge, and reed canary grass with sandbar willow on the wetland edge. The Notice of Decision for the boundary was approved on 11/9/2016.

No alterations of the property have occurred and the applicant is requesting a renewal of the wetland boundary and type approval.

2. APPLICATION REVIEW AND DECISION

Signing and mailing of this completed form to the appropriate recipients in accordance with 8420.0255, Subp. 3 provides notice that an application was made to the LGU under the Wetland Conservation Act as specified above. A copy of the application is attached. Comments can be submitted to:

Name and Title of LGU Contact Person  
Ben Scharenbroich  
Interim Water Resources Manager

Address (if different than LGU)  
Plymouth City Hall  
3400 Plymouth Blvd.  
Plymouth, MN 55447

Phone Number and E-mail Address  
763-509-5527  
bscharenbroich@plymouthmn.gov

Comments must be received by (minimum 15 business-day comment period):
October 1, 2019

Date, time, and location of decision:
October 2, 2019  
10AM  
Plymouth City Hall

Decision-maker for this application:
☒ Staff  
☐ Governing Board or Council

Signature:  
Date: 4/10/2019

BWSR Forms 7-1-10  
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3. LIST OF ADDRESSEES

- SWCD TEP member: Ms. Stacey Lijewski, HCD, 701 Fourth Avenue South, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN 55415-1600 (sent electronically)
- BWSR TEP member: Ben Carlson, BWSR 520 Lafayette Road North, St. Paul, MN 55401 (sent electronically)
- LGU TEP member (if different than LGU Contact): Ben Scharenbroich, City of Plymouth, 3400 Plymouth Blvd, Plymouth, MN 55447 (sent electronically)
- DNR TEP member: Becky Horton, MndNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN 55106 (sent electronically)
- DNR Regional Office (if different than DNR TEP member)
- WD or WMO (if applicable): BCWMC, c/o Laura Jester, Keystone Waters LLC, 16145 Hillcrest Lane, Eden Prairie, MN 55346 (sent electronically)
- Applicant (notice only) and Landowner (if different)
- Members of the public who requested notice (notice only):
  - Walmart, c/o Christopher Obenshain, 2001 S.E. 10th Street, Bentonville, AR 72716 (sent electronically)
  - Ryan Lunderby, Dominium Inc, 2905 Northwest Blvd, Suite 150, Plymouth MN 55441 (sent electronically)
  - Willy Boulay, Dominium Inc, 2905 Northwest Blvd, Suite 150, Plymouth MN 55441 (sent electronically)
  - Casey Harris, Dominium Inc, 2905 Northwest Blvd, Suite 150, Plymouth MN 55441 (sent electronically)
- Corps of Engineers Project Manager (notice only)
- BWSR Wetland Bank Coordinator (wetland bank plan applications only)

4. MAILING INFORMATION

- For a list of BWSR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/contact/WCA_areas.pdf
- For a list of DNR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/wca/DNR_TEP_contacts.pdf
- For Department of Natural Resources Regional Offices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NW Region:</th>
<th>NE Region:</th>
<th>Central Region:</th>
<th>Southern Region:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2115 Birchmont Beach Rd, NE</td>
<td>1201 E. Hwy. 2</td>
<td>1200 Warner Road</td>
<td>261 Hwy. 15 South</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bemidji, MN 56601</td>
<td>Grand Rapids, MN 55744</td>
<td>St. Paul, MN 55106</td>
<td>New Ulm, MN 56073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- For a map of DNR Administrative Regions, see: http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/aboutdnr/dnr_regions.pdf
- For a list of Corps of Project Managers: www.mvp.usace.army.mil/regulatory/default.asp?paigeid=687
  or send to:
  
  US Army Corps of Engineers
  St. Paul District, ATTN: OP-R
  180 Fifth St. East, Suite 700
  St. Paul, MN 55101-1678

- For Wetland Bank Plan applications, also send a copy of the application to:
  Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources
  Wetland Bank Coordinator
  520 Lafayette Road North
  St. Paul, MN 55155

5. ATTACHMENTS

In addition to the application, list any other attachments:
☐ Wetland Delineation Report for the Four Seasons Mall dated July 2016
Minneapolis Wetland Conservation Act
Notice of Decision

Local Government Unit (LGU)
City of Plymouth

Address
3400 Plymouth Blvd.
Plymouth, MN 55447

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Date of Application</th>
<th>Application Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rockhill Management</td>
<td>Four Seasons Mall Redevelopment</td>
<td>10-13-16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Attach site locator map.

Type of Decision:
- Wetland Boundary or Type
- No-Loss
- Exemption
- Sequencing
- Replacement Plan
- Banking Plan

Technical Evaluation Panel Findings and Recommendation (if any):
- Approve
- Approve with conditions
- Deny

Summary (or attach):

2. LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DECISION

Date of Decision: 11/9/16
- Approved
- Approved with conditions (include below)
- Denied

LGU Findings and Conclusions (attach additional sheets as necessary):

One wetland was delineated on-site. Wetland 1 is classified as a PEM1Cd, shallow marsh wetland dominated by hybrid cattail, tussock sedge, and reed canary grass with sandbar willow on the wetland edge.
For Replacement Plans using credits from the State Wetland Bank:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Account #</th>
<th>Bank Service Area</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Credits Approved for Withdrawal (sq. ft. or nearest .01 acre)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Replacement Plan Approval Conditions.** In addition to any conditions specified by the LGU, the approval of a Wetland Replacement Plan is conditional upon the following:

- **Financial Assurance:** For project-specific replacement that is not in-advance, a financial assurance specified by the LGU must be submitted to the LGU in accordance with MN Rule 8420.0522, Subp. 9 (List amount and type in LGU Findings).

- **Deed Recording:** For project-specific replacement, evidence must be provided to the LGU that the BWSR “Declaration of Restrictions and Covenants” and “Consent to Replacement Wetland” forms have been filed with the county recorder’s office in which the replacement wetland is located.

- **Credit Withdrawal:** For replacement consisting of wetland bank credits, confirmation that BWSR has withdrawn the credits from the state wetland bank as specified in the approved replacement plan.

*Wetlands may not be impacted until all applicable conditions have been met!*

**LGU Authorized Signature:**

Signing and mailing of this completed form to the appropriate recipients in accordance with 8420.0255, Subp. 5 provides notice that a decision was made by the LGU under the Wetland Conservation Act as specified above. If additional details on the decision exist, they have been provided to the landowner and are available from the LGU upon request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Phone Number and E-mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Derek Asche</td>
<td>Water Resources Manager</td>
<td>11/9/16</td>
<td>763-509-5526 <a href="mailto:dasche@plymouthmn.gov">dasche@plymouthmn.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THIS DECISION ONLY APPLIES TO THE MINNESOTA WETLAND CONSERVATION ACT.** Additional approvals or permits from local, state, and federal agencies may be required. Check with all appropriate authorities before commencing work in or near wetlands.

Applicants proceed at their own risk if work authorized by this decision is started before the time period for appeal (30 days) has expired. If this decision is reversed or revised under appeal, the applicant may be responsible for restoring or replacing all wetland impacts.

This decision is valid for three years from the date of decision unless a longer period is advised by the TEP and specified in this notice of decision.

---

3. **APPEAL OF THIS DECISION**

Pursuant to MN Rule 8420.0905, any appeal of this decision can only be commenced by mailing a petition for appeal, including applicable fee, within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of the mailing of this Notice to the following as indicated:

Check one:

- [X] Appeal of an LGU staff decision. Send petition and $0 fee (if applicable) to:
  Derek Asche, Water Resources Manager
  City of Plymouth
  3400 Plymouth Blvd.
  Plymouth, MN 55447

- [ ] Appeal of LGU governing body decision. Send petition and $500 filing fee to:
  Executive Director
  Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources
  520 Lafayette Road North
  St. Paul, MN 55155
4. LIST OF ADDRESSEES

☒ SWCD TEP member: Ms. Stacey Lijewski, HCD, 701 Fourth Avenue South, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN, 55415-1600 (sent electronically)
☒ BWSR TEP member: Ben Meyer, BWSR, 520 Lafayette Road North, St. Paul, MN, 55401-1397 (sent electronically)
☐ LGU TEP member (if different than LGU Contact):
☒ DNR TEP member: Becky Horton, MN DNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN, 55106 (sent electronically)
☒ DNR Regional Office (if different than DNR TEP member)
   Kate Drewry, Area Hydrologist, MN DNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN, 55106 (sent electronically)
☒ WD or WMO (if applicable):
   BCWMC, c/o Laura Jester, Keystone Waters LLC, 16145 Hillcrest Lane, Eden Prairie, MN, 553467 (sent electronically)
☒ Applicants (notice only) and Landowners (if different):
   Apurva Patel (sent electronically)
   John Hink, Solution Blue (sent electronically)
   Wal-Mart Business Trust, 2001 SE 10th Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716
☐ Members of the public who requested notice (notice only):
☒ Corps of Engineers Project Manager (notice only): Melissa Jenny, Army Corps of Engineers, 180 5th Street East, Suite 700, St. Paul, MN, 55101-1678 (sent electronically)
☐ BWSR Wetland Bank Coordinator (wetland bank plan applications only)

5. MAILING INFORMATION

➤ For a list of BWSR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/aboutbwsr/workareas/WCA_areas.pdf
➤ For a list of DNR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/wca/DNR_TEP_contacts.pdf
➤ Department of Natural Resources Regional Offices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NW Region:</th>
<th>NE Region:</th>
<th>Central Region:</th>
<th>Southern Region:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

For a map of DNR Administrative Regions, see: http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/aboutdnr/dnr_regions.pdf

➤ For a list of Corps of Project Managers: www.mnwp.usace.army.mil/regulatory/default.asp?pageid=687 or send to:
   US Army Corps of Engineers
   St. Paul District, ATTN: OP-R
   180 Fifth St. East, Suite 700
   St. Paul, MN 55101-1678

➤ For Wetland Bank Plan applications, also send a copy of the application to:
   Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources
   Wetland Bank Coordinator
   520 Lafayette Road North
   St. Paul, MN 55155

6. ATTACHMENTS

In addition to the site locator map, list any other attachments:
Site Location Map – Agora Site

Map adapted from Wetland Delineation Report, Four Seasons Mall Site, Plymouth, Minnesota, July 2016.
Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act
Notice of Application

Local Government Unit (LGU) | Address
City of Plymouth            | 3400 Plymouth Blvd.
                             | Plymouth, MN 55447

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant Name</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Date of Application</th>
<th>Application Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rockhill Management</td>
<td>Four Seasons Mall Redevelopment</td>
<td>10/13/16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type of Application (check all that apply):

- [x] Wetland Boundary or Type
- [ ] No-Lois
- [ ] Exemption
- [ ] Sequencing
- [ ] Replacement Plan
- [ ] Banking Plan

Summary and description of proposed project (attach additional sheets as necessary):

One wetland was delineated on-site. Wetland 1 is classified as a PEM1Cd, shallow marsh wetland dominated by hybrid cattail, tussock sedge, and reed canary grass with sandbar willow on the wetland edge.

2. APPLICATION REVIEW AND DECISION

Signing and mailing of this completed form to the appropriate recipients in accordance with 8420.0255, Subp. 3 provides notice that an application was made to the LGU under the Wetland Conservation Act as specified above. A copy of the application is attached. Comments can be submitted to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Title of LGU Contact Person</th>
<th>Comments must be received by (minimum 15 business-day comment period):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Derek Asche</td>
<td>November 8, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Resources Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address (if different than LGU)</th>
<th>Date, time, and location of decision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plymouth City Hall</td>
<td>Date, time, and location of decision:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400 Plymouth Blvd.</td>
<td>November 9, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plymouth, MN, 55447</td>
<td>10am</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plymouth City Hall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone Number and E-mail Address</th>
<th>Decision-maker for this application:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>763-509-5526</td>
<td>[x] Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:dasche@plymouthmn.gov">dasche@plymouthmn.gov</a></td>
<td>[ ] Governing Board or Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature: Derek Asche

Date: 10/14/16
3. LIST OF ADDRESSEES

- SWCD TEP member: Ms. Stacey Lijewski, HCD, 701 Fourth Avenue South, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN, 55415-1600 (sent electronically)
- BWSR TEP member: Ben Meyer, BWSR, 520 Lafayette Road North, St. Paul, MN, 55401-1397 (sent electronically)
- LGU TEP member (if different than LGU Contact):
- DNR TEP member: Becky Horton, MN DNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN, 55106 (sent electronically)
- DNR Regional Office (if different than DNR TEP member):
- Kent Drewry, Area Hydrologist, MN DNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN, 55106 (sent electronically)
- WD or WMO (if applicable):
- BCWMC, c/o Laura Jester, Keystone Waters LLC, 16145 Hillcrest Lane, Eden Prairie, MN, 553467 (sent electronically)
- Applicant (notice only) and Landowner (if different):
  - Apurva Patel (sent electronically)
  - John Hink, Solution Blue (sent electronically)
  - Wal-Mart Business Trust, 2001 SE 10th Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716
- Members of the public who requested notice (notice only):
- Corps of Engineers Project Manager (notice only): Melissa Jenny, Army Corps of Engineers, 180 5th Street East, Suite 700, St. Paul, MN, 55101-1678 (sent electronically)
- BWSR Wetland Bank Coordinator (wetland bank plan applications only)

4. MAILING INFORMATION

- For a list of BWSR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/contact/WCA_areas.pdf
- For a list of DNR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/wca/DNR_TEP_contacts.pdf
- Department of Natural Resources Regional Offices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

For a map of DNR Administrative Regions, see: http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/aboutdnh/dnr_regions.pdf
- For a list of Corps of Project Managers: www.mvp.usace.army.mil/regulatory/default.asp?pageid=687 or send to:
  - US Army Corps of Engineers
  - St. Paul District, ATTN: OP-R
  - 180 Fifth St. East, Suite 700
  - St. Paul, MN 55101-1678
- For Wetland Bank Plan applications, also send a copy of the application to:
  - Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources
  - Wetland Bank Coordinator
  - 520 Lafayette Road North
  - St. Paul, MN 55155

5. ATTACHMENTS

In addition to the application, list any other attachments:
- Wetland Delineation Report for the Four Seasons Mall dated July, 2016
Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota

This joint application form is the accepted means for initiating review of proposals that may affect a water resource (wetland, tributary, lake, etc.) in the State of Minnesota under state and federal regulatory programs. Applicants for Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Public Waters permits MUST use the MPARS online permitting system for submitting applications to the DNR. Applicants can use the information entered into MPARS to substitute for completing parts of this joint application form (see the paragraph on MPARS at the end of the joint application form instructions for additional information). This form is only applicable to the water resource aspects of proposed projects under state and federal regulatory programs; other local applications and approvals may be required. Depending on the nature of the project and the location and type of water resources impacted, multiple authorizations may be required as different regulatory programs have different types of jurisdiction over different types of resources.

Regulatory Review Structure

Federal

The St. Paul District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is the federal agency that regulates discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States (wetlands, tributaries, lakes, etc.) under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and regulates work in navigable waters under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Applications are assigned to Corps project managers who are responsible for implementing the Corps regulatory program within a particular geographic area.

State

There are three state regulatory programs that regulate activities affecting water resources. The Wetland Conservation Act (WCA) regulates most activities affecting wetlands. It is administered by local government units (LGUs) which can be counties, townships, cities, watershed districts, watershed management organizations or state agencies (on state-owned land). The Minnesota DNR Division of Ecological and Water Resources issues permits for work in specially-designated public waters via the Public Waters Work Permit Program (DNR Public Waters Permits). The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act certifies that discharges of dredged or fill material authorized by a federal permit or license comply with state water quality standards. One or more of these regulatory programs may be applicable to any one project.

Required Information

Prior to submitting an application, applicants are strongly encouraged to seek input from the Corps Project Manager and LGU staff to identify regulatory issues and required application materials for their proposed project. Project proponents can request a pre-application consultation with the Corps and LGU to discuss their proposed project by providing the information required in Sections 1 through 5 of this joint application form to facilitate a meaningful discussion about their project. Many LGUs provide a venue (such as regularly scheduled technical evaluation panel meetings) for potential applicants to discuss their projects with multiple agencies prior to submitting an application. Contact information is provided below.

The following bullets outline the information generally required for several common types of determinations/authorizations.

- For delineation approvals and/or jurisdictional determinations, submit Parts 1, 2 and 5, and Attachment A.
- For activities involving CWA/WCA exemptions, WCA no-loss determinations, and activities not requiring mitigation, submit Parts 1 through 5, and Attachment B.
- For activities requiring compensatory mitigation/replacement plan, submit Parts 1 thru 5, and Attachments C and D.
- For local road authority activities that qualify for the state’s local road wetland replacement program, submit Parts 1 through 5, and Attachments C, D (if applicable), and E to both the Corps and the LGU.
Submission Instructions

Send the completed joint application form and all required attachments to:

**U.S Army Corps of Engineers.** Applications may be sent directly to the appropriate Corps Office. For a current listing of areas of responsibilities and contact information, visit the St. Paul District's website at: http://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx and select "Minnesota" from the contact information box. Alternatively, applications may be sent directly to the St. Paul District Headquarters and the Corps will forward them to the appropriate field office.

**Section 401 Water Quality Certification:** Applicants do not need to submit the joint application form to the MPCA unless specifically requested. The MPCA will request a copy of the completed joint application form directly from an applicant when they determine an individual 401 water quality certification is required for a proposed project.

**Wetland Conservation Act Local Government Unit:** Send to the appropriate Local Government Unit. If necessary, contact your county Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) office or visit the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) web site (www.bwsr.state.mn.us) to determine the appropriate LGU.

**DNR Public Waters Permitting:** In 2014 the DNR will begin using the Minnesota DNR Permitting and Reporting System (MPARS) for submission of Public Waters permit applications (https://webapps11.dnr.state.mn.us/mpars/public/authentication/login). Applicants for Public Waters permits **MUST** use the MPARS online permitting system for submitting applications to the DNR. To avoid duplication and to streamline the application process among the various resource agencies, applicants can use the information entered into MPARS to substitute for completing parts of this joint application form. The MPARS print/save function will provide the applicant with a copy of the Public Waters permit application which, at a minimum, will satisfy Parts one and two of this joint application. For certain types of activities, the MPARS application may also provide all of the necessary information required under Parts three and four of the joint application. However, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to make sure that the joint application contains all of the required information, including identification of all aquatic resources impacted by the project (see Part four of the joint application). After confirming that the MPARS application contains all of the required information in Parts one and two the Applicant may attach a copy to the joint application and fill in any missing information in the remainder of the joint application.
PART ONE: Applicant Information

If applicant is an entity (company, government entity, partnership, etc.), an authorized contact person must be identified. If the applicant is using an agent (consultant, lawyer, or other third party) and has authorized them to act on their behalf, the agent’s contact information must also be provided.

Applicant/Landowner Name: Rockhill Management
Mailing Address: 8752 Monticello Lane N, Maple Grove, MN 55369
Phone: 612.685.6719
E-mail Address: apurva@solusled-usa.com

Authorized Contact (do not complete if same as above):
Mailing Address:
Phone:
E-mail Address:

Agent Name: Douglas M. Mensing, Applied Ecological Services, Inc.
Mailing Address: 21938 Mushtown Road, Prior Lake, MN 55372
Phone: 612.202.2252
E-mail Address: dougm@appliedeco.com

PART TWO: Site Location Information

County: Hennepin
City/Township: Plymouth
Parcel ID and/or Address: 1311822140013; 4200 Lancaster Lane North
Legal Description (Section, Township, Range): NE1/4 of Section 13, Township 118N, Range 22W
Lat/Long (decimal degrees): 45.030577, -93.401804 (within delineated wetland)
Attach a map showing the location of the site in relation to local streets, roads, highways. See Site Location Map (attached)
Approximate size of site (acres) or if a linear project, length (feet): 17.3 ac (entire site, not wetland)

If you know that your proposal will require an individual Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, you must provide the names and addresses of all property owners adjacent to the project site. This information may be provided by attaching a list to your application or by using block 25 of the Application for Department of the Army permit which can be obtained at:

PART THREE: General Project/Site Information

If this application is related to a delineation approval, exemption determination, jurisdictional determination, or other correspondence submitted prior to this application then describe that here and provide the Corps of Engineers project number.

Describe the project that is being proposed, the project purpose and need, and schedule for implementation and completion. The project description must fully describe the nature and scope of the proposed activity including a description of all project elements that affect aquatic resources (wetland, lake, tributary, etc.) and must also include plans and cross section or profile drawings showing the location, character, and dimensions of all proposed activities and aquatic resource impacts.
PART FOUR: Aquatic Resource Impact\(^1\) Summary

If your proposed project involves a direct or indirect impact to an aquatic resource (wetland, lake, tributary, etc.) identify each impact in the table below. Include all anticipated impacts, including those expected to be temporary. Attach an overhead view map, aerial photo, and/or drawing showing all of the aquatic resources in the project area and the location(s) of the proposed impacts. Label each aquatic resource on the map with a reference number or letter and identify the impacts in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquatic Resource ID (as noted on overhead view)</th>
<th>Aquatic Resource Type (wetland, lake, tributary etc.)</th>
<th>Type of Impact (fill, excavate, drain, or remove vegetation)</th>
<th>Duration of Impact Permanent (P) or Temporary (T)(^1)</th>
<th>Size of Impact(^2)</th>
<th>Overall Size of Aquatic Resource (^3)</th>
<th>Existing Plant Community Type(s) in Impact Area(^4)</th>
<th>County, Major Watershed #, and Bank Service Area # of Impact Area(^5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) If impacts are temporary; enter the duration of the impacts in days next to the "T". For example, a project with a temporary access fill that would be removed after 220 days would be entered "T (220)".

\(^2\) Impacts less than 0.01 acre should be reported in square feet. Impacts 0.01 acre or greater should be reported in acres and rounded to the nearest 0.01 acre. Tributary impacts must be reported in linear feet of impact and an area of impact by indicating feet the linear feet of impact along the flowline of the stream followed by the area impact in parentheses. For example, a project that impacts 50 feet of a stream that is 6 feet wide would be reported as 300 square feet.

\(^3\) This is generally only applicable if you are applying for a de minimis exemption under MN Rules 8420.0420 Subp. 8, otherwise enter "N/A".

\(^4\) Use Wetland Plants and Plant Community Types of Minnesota and Wisconsin 3rd Ed. as modified in MN Rules 8420 0405 Subp. 2.

\(^5\) Refer to Major Watershed and Bank Service Area maps in MN Rules 8420.0522 Subp. 7.

If any of the above identified impacts have already occurred, identify which impacts they are and the circumstances associated with each:

PART FIVE: Applicant Signature

\(\checkmark\) Check here if you are requesting a pre-application consultation with the Corps and LGU based on the information you have provided. Regulatory entities will not initiate a formal application review if this box is checked.

By signature below, I attest that the information in this application is complete and accurate. I further attest that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein.

Signature: [signature] Date: 10/11/16

I hereby authorize Douglas M. Mensing (of Applied Ecological Services, Inc.) to act on my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of this application.

\(^1\) The term "impact" as used in this joint application form is a generic term used for disclosure purposes to identify activities that may require approval from one or more regulatory agencies. For purposes of this form it is not meant to indicate whether or not those activities may require mitigation/replacement.

Minnesota Interagency Water Resource Application Form February 2014
Attachment A
Request for Delineation Review, Wetland Type Determination, or Jurisdictional Determination

By submission of the enclosed wetland delineation report, I am requesting that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District (Corps) and/or the Wetland Conservation Act Local Government Unit (LGU) provide me with the following (check all that apply):

☒ Wetland Type Confirmation

☒ Delineation Concurrence. Concurrency with a delineation is a written notification from the Corps and a decision from the LGU concuring, not concurring, or commenting on the boundaries of the aquatic resources delineated on the property. Delineation concurrences are generally valid for five years unless site conditions change. Under this request alone, the Corps will not address the jurisdictional status of the aquatic resources on the property, only the boundaries of the resources within the review area (including wetlands, tributaries, lakes, etc.).

☒ Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination. A preliminary jurisdictional determination (PJD) is a non-binding written indication from the Corps that waters, including wetlands, identified on a parcel may be waters of the United States. For purposes of computation of impacts and compensatory mitigation requirements, a permit decision made on the basis of a PJD will treat all waters and wetlands in the review area as if they are jurisdictional waters of the U.S. PJDs are advisory in nature and may not be appealed.

☐ Approved Jurisdictional Determination. An approved jurisdictional determination (AJD) is an official Corps determination that jurisdictional waters of the United States are either present or absent on the property. AJDs can generally be relied upon by the affected party for five years. An AJD may be appealed through the Corps administrative appeal process.

In order for the Corps and LGU to process your request, the wetland delineation must be prepared in accordance with the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, any approved Regional Supplements to the 1987 Manual, and the Guidelines for Submitting Wetland Delineations in Minnesota (2013).
Attachment B

Supporting Information for Applications Involving Exemptions, No Loss Determinations, and Activities Not Requiring Mitigation

Complete this part if you maintain that the identified aquatic resource impacts in Part Four do not require wetland replacement/compensatory mitigation OR if you are seeking verification that the proposed water resource impacts are either exempt from replacement or are not under CWA/WCA jurisdiction.

Identify the specific exemption or no-loss provision for which you believe your project or site qualifies:

Provide a detailed explanation of how your project or site qualifies for the above. Be specific and provide and refer to attachments and exhibits that support your contention. Applicants should refer to rules (e.g. WCA rules), guidance documents (e.g. BWSR guidance, Corps guidance letters/public notices), and permit conditions (e.g. Corps General Permit conditions) to determine the necessary information to support the application. Applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the WCA LGU and Corps Project Manager prior to submitting an application if they are unsure of what type of information to provide:
Attachment C
Avoidance and Minimization

Project Purpose, Need, and Requirements. Clearly state the purpose of your project and need for your project. Also include a description of any specific requirements of the project as they relate to project location, project footprint, water management, and any other applicable requirements. Attach an overhead plan sheet showing all relevant features of the project (buildings, roads, etc.), aquatic resource features (impact areas noted) and construction details (grading plans, storm water management plans, etc.), referencing these as necessary:

Avoidance. Both the CWA and the WCA require that impacts to aquatic resources be avoided if practicable alternatives exist. Clearly describe all on-site measures considered to avoid impacts to aquatic resources and discuss at least two project alternatives that avoid all impacts to aquatic resources on the site. These alternatives may include alternative site plans, alternate sites, and/or not doing the project. Alternatives should be feasible and prudent (see MN Rules 8420.0520 Subp. 2 C). Applicants are encouraged to attach drawings and plans to support their analysis:

Minimization. Both the CWA and the WCA require that all unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources be minimized to the greatest extent practicable. Discuss all features of the proposed project that have been modified to minimize the impacts to water resources (see MN Rules 8420.0520 Subp. 4):

Off-Site Alternatives. An off-site alternatives analysis is not required for all permit applications. If you know that your proposal will require an individual permit (standard permit or letter of permission) from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, you may be required to provide an off-site alternatives analysis. The alternatives analysis is not required for a complete application but must be provided during the review process in order for the Corps to complete the evaluation of your application and reach a final decision. Applicants with questions about when an off-site alternatives analysis is required should contact their Corps Project Manager.
Attachment D
Replacement/Compensatory Mitigation

Complete this part if your application involves wetland replacement/compensatory mitigation not associated with the local road wetland replacement program. Applicants should consult Corps mitigation guidelines and WCA rules for requirements.

Replacement/Compensatory Mitigation via Wetland Banking. Complete this section if you are proposing to use credits from an existing wetland bank (with an account number in the State wetland banking system) for all or part of your replacement/compensatory mitigation requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland Bank Account #</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Major Watershed #</th>
<th>Bank Service Area #</th>
<th>Credit Type (if applicable)</th>
<th>Number of Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicants should attach documentation indicating that they have contacted the wetland bank account owner and reached at least a tentative agreement to utilize the identified credits for the project. This documentation could be a signed purchase agreement, signed application for withdrawal of credits or some other correspondence indicating an agreement between the applicant and the bank owner. However, applicants are advised not to enter into a binding agreement to purchase credits until the mitigation plan is approved by the Corps and LGU.

Project-Specific Replacement/Permittee Responsible Mitigation. Complete this section if you are proposing to pursue actions (restoration, creation, preservation, etc.) to generate wetland replacement/compensatory mitigation credits for this proposed project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCA Action Eligible for Credit¹</th>
<th>Corps Mitigation Compensation Technique²</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Credit % Requested</th>
<th>Credits Anticipated³</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Major Watershed #</th>
<th>Bank Service Area #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Refer to the name and subpart number in MN Rule 8420.0526.
²Refer to the technique listed in St. Paul District Policy for Wetland Compensatory Mitigation in Minnesota.
³If WCA and Corps crediting differs, then enter both numbers and distinguish which is Corps and which is WCA.

Explain how each proposed action or technique will be completed (e.g. wetland hydrology will be restored by breaking the tile....) and how the proposal meets the crediting criteria associated with it. Applicants should refer to the Corps mitigation policy language, WCA rule language, and all associated Corps and WCA guidance related to the action or technique:

Attach a site location map, soils map, recent aerial photograph, and any other maps to show the location and other relevant features of each wetland replacement/mitigation site. Discuss in detail existing vegetation, existing landscape features, land use (on and surrounding the site), existing soils, drainage systems (if present), and water sources and movement. Include a topographic map showing key features related to hydrology and water flow (inlets, outlets, ditches, pumps, etc.):
Attach a map of the existing aquatic resources, associated delineation report, and any documentation of regulatory review or approval. Discuss as necessary:

For actions involving construction activities, attach construction plans and specifications with all relevant details. Discuss and provide documentation of a hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the site to define existing conditions, predict project outcomes, identify specific project performance standards and avoid adverse offsite impacts. Plans and specifications should be prepared by a licensed engineer following standard engineering practices. Discuss anticipated construction sequence and timing:

For projects involving vegetation restoration, provide a vegetation establishment plan that includes information on site preparation, seed mixes and plant materials, seeding/planting plan (attach seeding/planting zone map), planting/seeding methods, vegetation maintenance, and an anticipated schedule of activities:

For projects involving construction or vegetation restoration, identify and discuss goals and specific outcomes that can be determined for credit allocation. Provide a proposed credit allocation table tied to outcomes:

Provide a five-year monitoring plan to address project outcomes and credit allocation:

Discuss and provide evidence of ownership or rights to conduct wetland replacement/mitigation on each site:

Quantify all proposed wetland credits and compare to wetland impacts to identify a proposed wetland replacement ratio. Discuss how this replacement ratio is consistent with Corps and WCA requirements:

By signature below, the applicant attests to the following (only required if application involves project-specific/permittee responsible replacement):

- All proposed replacement wetlands were not:
  - Previously restored or created under a prior approved replacement plan or permit
  - Drained or filled under an exemption during the previous 10 years
  - Restored with financial assistance from public conservation programs
  - Restored using private funds, other than landowner funds, unless the funds are paid back with interest to the individual or organization that funded the restoration and the individual or organization notifies the local government unit in writing that the restored wetland may be considered for replacement.
  - The wetland will be replaced before or concurrent with the actual draining or filling of a wetland.
  - An irrevocable bank letter of credit, performance bond, or other acceptable security will be provided to guarantee successful completion of the wetland replacement.
  - Within 30 days of either receiving approval of this application or beginning work on the project, I will record the Declaration of Restrictions and Covenants on the deed for the property on which the replacement wetland(s) will be located and submit proof of such recording to the LGU and the Corps.

Applicant or Representative: 

Signature: [Signature]

Date: 

Title: 

---

Minnesota Interagency Water Resource Application Form February 2014
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Attachment E
Local Road Replacement Program Qualification

Complete this part if you are a local road authority (county highway department, city transportation department, etc.) seeking verification that your project (or a portion of your project) qualifies for the MN Local Government Road Wetland Replacement Program (LGRWRP). If portions of your project are not eligible for the LGRWRP, then Attachment D should be completed and attached to your application.

Discuss how your project is a repair, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement of a currently serviceable road to meet state/federal design or safety standards/requirements. Applicants should identify the specific road deficiencies and how the project will rectify them. Attach supporting documents and information as applicable.

Provide a map, plan, and/or aerial photograph accurately depicting wetland boundaries within the project area. Attach associated delineation/determination report or otherwise explain the method(s) used to identify and delineate wetlands. Also attach and discuss any type of review or approval of wetland boundaries or other aspects of the project by a member or members of the local Technical Evaluation Panel (TEP) or Corps of Engineers.

In the table below, identify only the wetland impacts from Part 4 that the road authority has determined should qualify for the LGRWRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland Impact ID (as noted on overhead view)</th>
<th>Type of Impact (fill, excavate, drain)</th>
<th>Size of Impact (square feet or acres to 0.01)</th>
<th>Existing Plant Community Type(s) in Impact Area¹</th>
<th>County, Major Watershed #, and Bank Service Area # of Impact²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Use Wetland Plants and Plant Community Types of Minnesota and Wisconsin 3rd Ed. as modified in MN Rules 8420.0405 Subp. 2.
² Refer to Major Watershed and Bank Service Area maps in MN Rules 8420.0522 Subp. 7.

Discuss the feasibility of providing onsite compensatory mitigation/replacement for important site-specific wetland functions:

Please note that under the MN Wetland Conservation Act, projects with less than 10,000 square feet of wetland impact are allowed to commence prior to submission of this notification so long as the notification is submitted within 30 days of the impact. The Clean Water Act has no such provision and requires that permits be obtained prior to any regulated discharges into water of the United States. To avoid potential unauthorized activities, road authorities must, at a minimum, provide a complete application to the Corps and receive a permit prior to commencing work.

By signature below, the road authority attests that they have followed the process in MN Rules 8420.0544 and have determined that the wetland impacts identified in Part 4 are eligible for the MN Local Government Road Wetland Replacement Program.

Road Authority Representative: Title:

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
Technical Evaluation Panel Concurrence:                  Project Name and/or Number:

TEP member:                                               Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program?  □ Yes  □ No

Signature: ___________________________     Date:

TEP member:                                               Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program?  □ Yes  □ No

Signature: ___________________________     Date:

TEP member:                                               Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program?  □ Yes  □ No

Signature: ___________________________     Date:

TEP member:                                               Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program?  □ Yes  □ No

Signature: ___________________________     Date:

Upon approval and signature by the TEP, application must be sent to:  Wetland Bank Administration
Minnesota Board of Water & Soil Resources
520 Lafayette Road North
Saint Paul, MN 55155
Site Location Map – Agora Site

Map adapted from Wetland Delineation Report, Four Seasons Mall Site, Plymouth, Minnesota, July 2016.
Wetland Delineation Report

Four Seasons Mall Site
Plymouth, Minnesota

July 2016
Introduction

A wetland delineation was performed on June 17, 2016 of the approximately 17.3-acre residential lot located at 4108 Lancaster Lane N. in Plymouth, Minnesota, Hennepin County. It is located on the south side of Rockford Road, west of Highway 169, and northeast of Lancaster Lane N.

Existing Data

The study area is comprised of a 17.3-acre site located in Plymouth, Minnesota, in NE ¼ of Section 13, Township 118N, Range 22W. The site is the former Four Seasons Mall, an abandoned retail site that includes a strip mall structure and parking lot.

Prior to conducting the field review, an evaluation of existing data was conducted, including the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map, the Hennepin County Soil Survey, and the Protected Water Inventory (PWI) map. The NWI indicate the presence of a long, linear wetland along the north side of the site, extending along the northeast side of the site, and connecting with a large wetland area on the south side of the site. The Hennepin County Soil Survey indicates the presence Hamel, Dundas-Cordova complex, and Muskego and Houghton soils, all of which are hydric soils. The PWI does not indicate the presence of wetlands within the study area.

Methodology

Wetlands were delineated utilizing the Routine “Onsite” Determination Method contained in the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region (Version 2.0). The wetland boundaries were determined based on the evaluation of the three parameters (hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and wetland hydrology) required for an area to be defined as a jurisdictional wetland. Wetlands on the site were evaluated on July 8, 2016. Tony Kaster conducted field work, with concurrence by Jennifer Kamm (WDCP # 1253)

A representative transect was placed in an area transitioning from upland to wetland. Soil pits were generally excavated to a minimum depth of 16 inches when possible and the soil characteristics (soil matrix/mottle colors, texture, etc.) were correlated to the soil series description, along with determining the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators. The dominant floral species were visually estimated for each sample point by using areal percent cover. Hydrologic indicators were evaluated, i.e. the presence or absence of inundated and/or saturated soils, drift lines, drainage patterns, water marks, etc. Finally, the delineated wetland was classified based using the Eggers and Reed methodology. One wetland was identified within the study area. The wetland boundaries falling within the study area were flagged in the field.

Results and Discussion

Wetland 1

One contiguous wetland is present on the site. A large wetland area is located on the south side of the site, extending to the south and outside of the study area. A narrow strip of wetland extends north from the main basin. This wetland strip runs between the east side of the mall and parking lot, and the onramp from Rockford Road to southbound Hwy 169. The wetland extends along the north side of the building, south of Rockford Road. The study area boundary corresponds roughly with the wetland strip, with the west and south portions of the wetland within the study area, and the east and north portions outside the study area. The wetland transitions to upland abruptly. It appears fill material is incorporated into the
parking lot. The wetland is a shallow marsh. The main basin on the south side is dominated by hybrid cattail, tussock sedge, and reed canary grass. Wetland edge areas contain sandbar willow.
View of wetland to upland transition of wetland on south side of site. Looking west.

Wetland area on south side of site, looking south.
Wetland area on north side of site, to the south of Rockford Road, looking north.

Wetland area on northeast side of site, behind parking area on back side of building, facing northeast.
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: Four Seasons Mall site
City/County: Plymouth/Hennepin
Sampling Date: July 8, 2016
Applicant/Owner: Solution Blue
State: Minnesota
Sampling Point: Wetland 1 - Wet
Investigator(s): T. Kaster, J. Kamm
Section, Township, Range: Sec 13, T 118N, R 22W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): depression
Slope (%): 2
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave
Long: 45.030577
Lat: -93.401804
Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Muskego and Houghton soils
VWI Classification: PEM1Cd

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? Y (If not, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation, soil or hydrology significantly disturbed? No
Are vegetation, soil or hydrology naturally problematic? Yes

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Is the sampled area within a wetland? Y
If yes, optional wetland site ID:

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Stratum</th>
<th>Plot size:</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size:)

| 1            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 2            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 3            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 4            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 5            |            |                  |                  |                 |

Herb stratum (Plot size:)

| 1            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 2            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 3            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 4            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 5            |            |                  |                  |                 |

Woody vine stratum (Plot size:)

| 1            |            |                  |                  |                 |
| 2            |            |                  |                  |                 |

Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y

Hydric soil present? Y
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y

Dominance Test Worksheet
Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet
Total % Cover of:
OBL species 100 x 1 = 100 (A)
FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 (B)
FAC species 0 x 3 = 0 (C)
FACU species 0 x 4 = 0 (D)
UPL species 0 x 5 = 0 (E)
Column totals 100 (A) 100 (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
X. Dominance test is >50%
X. Prevalence index is <3.0*
Morphological adaptations* (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (Explain)

*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Hydrophytic vegetation present? Y

Remarks: (Include photos here or on a separate sheet)
### SOIL

**Profile Description:** (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (Inches)</th>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Color (moist)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Redox Features</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-16</td>
<td>10YR 2/1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mucky peat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

**Hydric Soil Indicators:**
- Histisol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A6)
- 2 cm Muck (A10)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)

- Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- Sandy Redox (S5)
- Stripped Matrix (S6)
- Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)
- Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- Depleted Matrix (F3)
- Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- Redox Depressions (F8)

**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A16 (LRR K, L, R)
- Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- Other (explain in remarks)

*Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

**Restrictive Layer (if observed):**
- Type: ____________________________
- Depth (inches): ____________________
- Hydric soil present? **Y**

**Remarks:** ________________________________________________________________

### HYDROLOGY

**Wetland Hydrology Indicators:**
- Surface Water (A1)
- High Water Table (A2)
- Saturation (A3)
- Water Marks (B1)
- Sediment Deposits (B2)
- Drift Deposits (B3)
- Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- Iron Deposits (B5)
- Induration Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

- Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- Gauge or Well Data (D6)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

**Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required):**
- Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- Drainage Patterns (B10)
- Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- Grayfish Burrows (C5)
- Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- Geomorphic Position (D2)
- FAC–Neutral Test (D5)

**Field Observations:**

- Surface water present? **Yes** No **X**
- Water table present? **Yes** No **X**
- Saturation present? **Yes** No **X**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (Inches):</th>
<th>Indicators of wetland hydrology present? <strong>Y</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Remarks:** ________________________________________________________________

---

US Army Corps of Engineers

Midwest Region
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: Four Seasons Mall site                     City/County: Plymouth/Hennepin                     Sampling Date: July 8, 2016
Applicant/Owner: Solution Blue                         State: Minnesota                                Sampling Point: Wetland 1 - Up
Investigator(s): T. Kaster, J. Kamm                    Section, Township, Range: Sec 13, T 118N, R 22W
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): rise              Local relief (concave, convex, rime): concave
Slope (%): 10                                           Long: -93.401925                               Datum: NAD 83
Soil Map Unit Name: Muskego and Houghton soils         VVI Classification: PEM1Cd
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? Y (If no, explain in remarks)
Are vegetation _____, soil _____, or hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "normal circumstances" present? Yes
Are vegetation _____, soil _____, or hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in remarks)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrophytic vegetation present?</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydric soil present?</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators of wetland hydrology present?</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the sampled area within a wetland? N
If yes, optional wetland site ID: _______________________

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Stratum</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Staus</th>
<th>Indicator Test Worksheet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Fraxinus pennsylvanica</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acer negundo</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cover = 50

Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size: ________)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Rhamnus cathartica</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>FAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cover = 50

Herb stratum (Plot size: ________)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Bromus inermis</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>FACU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Solidago canadensis</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cirsium arvense</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cover = 100

Woody vine stratum (Plot size: ________)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Indicator Test Worksheet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Cover = 0

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
- Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
- Dominance test is >50% (A)
- Prevalence index is ≤3.0* (B)
- Morphological adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain)

*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)
### SOIL

**Profile Description:** (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (Inches)</th>
<th>Matrix Color (moist)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Redox Features Color (moist)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Type*</th>
<th>Loc**</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>10YR 2/1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>10YR 5/3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sandy Redox (S5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

**Hydric Soil Indicators:**
- Histisol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)

**Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:**
- Coast Prairie Redox (A10) (LRR K, L, R)
- Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- Other (explain in remarks)

**Restrictive Layer (if observed):**
- Type: __________________________
- Depth (inches): __________________________
- Remarks: Steep slope, likely fill material.

### HYDROLOGY

**Wetland Hydrology Indicators:**
- Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- Oxidized Rhizoephores on Living Roots (C3)
- Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- Gauge or Well Data (D9)
- Other (explain in remarks)

**Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required):**
- Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- Drainage Patterns (B10)
- Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- Saturated Vegetation on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- Geomorphic Position (D2)
- FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

**Field Observations:**
- Surface water present? Yes No X Depth (inches): __________
- Water table present? Yes No X Depth (inches): __________
- Saturation present? Yes No X Depth (inches): __________

Field Observations (includes capillary fringe): __________

**Indicators of wetland hydrology present?** N

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

**Remarks:**

---
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