1. PROJECT INFORMATION

Applicant Name
Rockhill Management

Project Name
Four Seasons Mall Redevelopment

Date of Application
10/13/16

Application Number
NA

Type of Application (check all that apply):

☑ Wetland Boundary or Type
☒ No-Loss
☒ Exemption
☒ Sequencing

☐ Replacement Plan
☐ Banking Plan

Summary and description of proposed project (attach additional sheets as necessary):

One wetland was delineated on-site. Wetland 1 is classified as a PEM1Cd, shallow marsh wetland dominated by hybrid cattail, tussock sedge, and reed canary grass with sandbar willow on the wetland edge.

2. APPLICATION REVIEW AND DECISION

Signing and mailing of this completed form to the appropriate recipients in accordance with 8420.0255, Subp. 3 provides notice that an application was made to the LGU under the Wetland Conservation Act as specified above. A copy of the application is attached. Comments can be submitted to:

Name and Title of LGU Contact Person
Derek Asche
Water Resources Manager

Comments must be received by (minimum 15 business-day comment period):
November 8, 2016

Address (if different than LGU)
Plymouth City Hall
3400 Plymouth Blvd.
Plymouth, MN, 55447

Date, time, and location of decision:
November 9, 2016
10am
Plymouth City Hall

Phone Number and E-mail Address
763-509-5526
dasche@plymouthmn.gov

Decision-maker for this application:
☑ Staff
☐ Governing Board or Council

Signature: [Signature of Applicant]
Date: 10/14/16
3. LIST OF ADDRESSEES

- SWCD TEP member: Ms. Stacey Lijewski, HCD, 701 Fourth Avenue South, Suite 700, Minneapolis, MN, 55415-1600 (sent electronically)
- BWSR TEP member: Ben Meyer, BWSR, 520 Lafayette Road North, St. Paul, MN, 55410-1397 (sent electronically)
- LGU TEP member (if different than LGU Contact):
- DNR TEP member: Becky Horton, MN DNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN, 55106 (sent electronically)
- DNR Regional Office (if different than DNR TEP member):
  - Kate Drewry, Area Hydrologist, MN DNR, 1200 Warner Road, St. Paul, MN, 55106 (sent electronically)
- WD or WMO (if applicable):
  - BCWMC, c/o Laura Jester, Keystone Waters LLC, 16145 Hillcrest Lane, Eden Prairie, MN, 55347 (sent electronically)
- Applicant (notice only) and Landowner (if different):
  - Apurva Patel (sent electronically)
  - John Hink, Solution Blue (sent electronically)
  - Wal-Mart Business Trust, 2001 SE 10th Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716
- Members of the public who requested notice (notice only):

- Corps of Engineers Project Manager (notice only): Melissa Jenny, Army Corps of Engineers, 180 5th Street East, Suite 700, St. Paul, MN, 55101-1678 (sent electronically)
- BWSR Wetland Bank Coordinator (wetland bank plan applications only)

4. MAILING INFORMATION

- For a list of BWSR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/contact/WCA_areas.pdf
- For a list of DNR TEP representatives: www.bwsr.state.mn.us/wetlands/wca/DNR_TEP_contacts.pdf
- Department of Natural Resources Regional Offices:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NW Region:</th>
<th>NE Region:</th>
<th>Central Region:</th>
<th>Southern Region:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2115 Birchmont Beach Rd. NE Bemidji, MN 56601</td>
<td>1201 E. Hwy. 2 Grand Rapids, MN 55744</td>
<td>1200 Warner Road St. Paul, MN 55100</td>
<td>261 Hwy. 15 South New Ulm, MN 56073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- For a map of DNR Administrative Regions, see: http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/aboutdnr/dnr_regions.pdf
- For a list of Corps of Project Managers: www.mvp.usace.army.mil/regulatory/default.asp?pageid=687 or send to:
  - US Army Corps of Engineers
    St. Paul District, ATTN: OP-R
    180 Fifth St. East, Suite 700
    St. Paul, MN 55101-1678

- For Wetland Bank Plan applications, also send a copy of the application to:
  - Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources
    Wetland Bank Coordinator
    520 Lafayette Road North
    St. Paul, MN 55155

5. ATTACHMENTS

In addition to the application, list any other attachments:
- Wetland Delineation Report for the Four Seasons Mall dated July, 2016
Joint Application Form for Activities Affecting Water Resources in Minnesota

This joint application form is the accepted means for initiating review of proposals that may affect a water resource (wetland, tributary, lake, etc.) in the State of Minnesota under state and federal regulatory programs. Applicants for Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Public Waters permits MUST use the MPARS online permitting system for submitting applications to the DNR. Applicants can use the information entered into MPARS to substitute for completing parts of this joint application form (see the paragraph on MPARS at the end of the joint application form instructions for additional information). This form is only applicable to the water resource aspects of proposed projects under state and federal regulatory programs; other local applications and approvals may be required. Depending on the nature of the project and the location and type of water resources impacted, multiple authorizations may be required as different regulatory programs have different types of jurisdiction over different types of resources.

Regulatory Review Structure

Federal

The St. Paul District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is the federal agency that regulates discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States (wetlands, tributaries, lakes, etc.) under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and regulates work in navigable waters under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act. Applications are assigned to Corps project managers who are responsible for implementing the Corps regulatory program within a particular geographic area.

State

There are three state regulatory programs that regulate activities affecting water resources. The Wetland Conservation Act (WCA) regulates most activities affecting wetlands. It is administered by local government units (LGUs) which can be counties, townships, cities, watershed districts, watershed management organizations or state agencies (on state-owned land). The Minnesota DNR Division of Ecological and Water Resources issues permits for work in specially-designated public waters via the Public Waters Work Permit Program (DNR Public Waters Permits). The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act certifies that discharges of dredged or fill material authorized by a federal permit or license comply with state water quality standards. One or more of these regulatory programs may be applicable to any one project.

Required Information

Prior to submitting an application, applicants are strongly encouraged to seek input from the Corps Project Manager and LGU staff to identify regulatory issues and required application materials for their proposed project. Project proponents can request a pre-application consultation with the Corps and LGU to discuss their proposed project by providing the information required in Sections 1 through 5 of this joint application form to facilitate a meaningful discussion about their project. Many LGUs provide a venue (such as regularly scheduled technical evaluation panel meetings) for potential applicants to discuss their projects with multiple agencies prior to submitting an application. Contact information is provided below.

The following bullets outline the information generally required for several common types of determinations/authorizations.

- For delineation approvals and/or jurisdictional determinations, submit Parts 1, 2 and 5, and Attachment A.
- For activities involving CWA/WCA exemptions, WCA no-loss determinations, and activities not requiring mitigation, submit Parts 1 through 5, and Attachment B.
- For activities requiring compensatory mitigation/replacement plan, submit Parts 1 thru 5, and Attachments C and D.
- For local road authority activities that qualify for the state’s local road wetland replacement program, submit Parts 1 through 5, and Attachments C, D (if applicable), and E to both the Corps and the LGU.
Submission Instructions

Send the completed joint application form and all required attachments to:

U.S Army Corps of Engineers. Applications may be sent directly to the appropriate Corps Office. For a current listing of areas of responsibilities and contact information, visit the St. Paul District’s website at: http://www.mvp.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory.aspx and select “Minnesota” from the contact Information box. Alternatively, applications may be sent directly to the St. Paul District Headquarters and the Corps will forward them to the appropriate field office.

Section 401 Water Quality Certification: Applicants do not need to submit the joint application form to the MPCA unless specifically requested. The MPCA will request a copy of the completed joint application form directly from an applicant when they determine an individual 401 water quality certification is required for a proposed project.

Wetland Conservation Act Local Government Unit: Send to the appropriate Local Government Unit. If necessary, contact your county Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) office or visit the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) web site (www.bwsr.state.mn.us) to determine the appropriate LGU.

DNR Public Waters Permitting: In 2014 the DNR will begin using the Minnesota DNR Permitting and Reporting System (MPARS) for submission of Public Waters permit applications (https://webapps11.dnr.state.mn.us/mpars/public/authentication/login). Applicants for Public Waters permits MUST use the MPARS online permitting system for submitting applications to the DNR. To avoid duplication and to streamline the application process among the various resource agencies, applicants can use the information entered into MPARS to substitute for completing parts of this joint application form. The MPARS print/save function will provide the applicant with a copy of the Public Waters permit application which, at a minimum, will satisfy Parts one and two of this joint application. For certain types of activities, the MPARS application may also provide all of the necessary information required under Parts three and four of the joint application. However, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to make sure that the joint application contains all of the required information, including identification of all aquatic resources impacted by the project (see Part four of the joint application). After confirming that the MPARS application contains all of the required information in Parts one and two the Applicant may attach a copy to the joint application and fill in any missing information in the remainder of the joint application.
PART ONE: Applicant Information

If applicant is an entity (company, government entity, partnership, etc.), an authorized contact person must be identified. If the applicant is using an agent (consultant, lawyer, or other third party) and has authorized them to act on their behalf, the agent's contact information must also be provided.

Applicant/Landowner Name: Rockhill Management
Mailing Address: 8752 Monticello Lane N, Maple Grove, MN 55369
Phone: 612.685.6719
E-mail Address: apurva@solusled-usa.com

Authorized Contact (do not complete if same as above):
Mailing Address:
Phone:
E-mail Address:

Agent Name: Douglas M. Mensing, Applied Ecological Services, Inc.
Mailing Address: 21938 Mushtown Road, Prior Lake, MN 55372
Phone: 612.202.2252
E-mail Address: dougm@appliedeco.com

PART TWO: Site Location Information

County: Hennepin
City/Township: Plymouth
Parcel ID and/or Address: 1311822140013; 4200 Lancaster Lane North
Legal Description (Section, Township, Range): NE1/4 of Section 13, Township 11B, Range 22W
Lat/Long (decimal degrees): 45.030577, -93.401804 (within delineated wetland)
Attach a map showing the location of the site in relation to local streets, roads, highways. See Site Location Map (attached)
Approximate size of site (acres) or if a linear project, length (feet): 17.3 ac (entire site, not wetland)

If you know that your proposal will require an individual Permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, you must provide the names and addresses of all property owners adjacent to the project site. This information may be provided by attaching a list to your application or by using block 25 of the Application for Department of the Army permit which can be obtained at:


PART THREE: General Project/Site Information

If this application is related to a delineation approval, exemption determination, jurisdictional determination, or other correspondence submitted prior to this application then describe that here and provide the Corps of Engineers project number.

Describe the project that is being proposed, the project purpose and need, and schedule for implementation and completion. The project description must fully describe the nature and scope of the proposed activity including a description of all project elements that affect aquatic resources (wetland, lake, tributary, etc.) and must also include plans and cross section or profile drawings showing the location, character, and dimensions of all proposed activities and aquatic resource impacts.
PART FOUR: Aquatic Resource Impact Summary

If your proposed project involves a direct or indirect impact to an aquatic resource (wetland, lake, tributary, etc.) identify each impact in the table below. Include all anticipated impacts, including those expected to be temporary. Attach an overhead view map, aerial photo, and/or drawing showing all of the aquatic resources in the project area and the location(s) of the proposed impacts. Label each aquatic resource on the map with a reference number or letter and identify the impacts in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquatic Resource ID (as noted on overhead view)</th>
<th>Aquatic Resource Type (wetland, lake, tributary etc.)</th>
<th>Type of Impact (fill, excavate, drain, or remove vegetation)</th>
<th>Duration of Impact Permanent (P) or Temporary (T)</th>
<th>Size of Impact2</th>
<th>Overall Size of Aquatic Resource3</th>
<th>Existing Plant Community Type(s) in Impact Area4</th>
<th>County, Major Watershed #, and Bank Service Area # of Impact Area5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1If impacts are temporary; enter the duration of the impacts in days next to the "T". For example, a project with a temporary access fill that would be removed after 220 days would be entered "T (220)".
2Impacts less than 0.01 acre should be reported in square feet. Impacts 0.01 acre or greater should be reported as acres and rounded to the nearest 0.01 acre. Tributary impacts must be reported in linear feet of impact and an area of impact by indicating first the linear feet of impact along the flowline of the stream followed by the area impact in parentheses. For example, a project that impacts 50 feet of a stream that is 6 feet wide would be reported as 50 ft (300 square feet).
3This is generally only applicable if you are applying for a de minimis exemption under MN Rules 8420.0420 Subp. 8, otherwise enter "N/A".
4Use Wetland Plants and Plant Community Types of Minnesota and Wisconsin 3rd Ed. as modified in MN Rules 8420.0405 Subp. 2.
5Refer to Major Watershed and Bank Service Area maps in MN Rules 8420.0522 Subp. 7.

If any of the above identified impacts have already occurred, identify which impacts they are and the circumstances associated with each:

PART FIVE: Applicant Signature

☑ Check here if you are requesting a pre-application consultation with the Corps and LGU based on the information you have provided. Regulatory entities will not initiate a formal application review if this box is checked.

By signature below, I attest that the information in this application is complete and accurate. I further attest that I possess the authority to undertake the work described herein.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 10/22/16

I hereby authorize Douglas M. Mensing (of Applied Ecological Services, Inc.) to act on my behalf as my agent in the processing of this application and to furnish, upon request, supplemental information in support of this application.

3 The term "impact" as used in this joint application form is a generic term used for disclosure purposes to identify activities that may require approval from one or more regulatory agencies. For purposes of this form it is not meant to indicate whether or not those activities may require mitigation/replacement.
Attachment A

Request for Delineation Review, Wetland Type Determination, or Jurisdictional Determination

By submission of the enclosed wetland delineation report, I am requesting that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District (Corps) and/or the Wetland Conservation Act Local Government Unit (LGU) provide me with the following (check all that apply):

☐ Wetland Type Confirmation

☐ Delineation Concurrence. Concurrence with a delineation is a written notification from the Corps and a decision from the LGU concurring, not concurring, or commenting on the boundaries of the aquatic resources delineated on the property. Delineation concurrences are generally valid for five years unless site conditions change. Under this request alone, the Corps will not address the jurisdictional status of the aquatic resources on the property, only the boundaries of the resources within the review area (including wetlands, tributaries, lakes, etc.).

☐ Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination. A preliminary jurisdictional determination (PJD) is a non-binding written indication from the Corps that waters, including wetlands, identified on a parcel may be waters of the United States. For purposes of computation of impacts and compensatory mitigation requirements, a permit decision made on the basis of a PJD will treat all waters and wetlands in the review area as if they are jurisdictional waters of the U.S. PJDs are advisory in nature and may not be appealed.

☐ Approved Jurisdictional Determination. An approved jurisdictional determination (AJD) is an official Corps determination that jurisdictional waters of the United States are either present or absent on the property. AJDs can generally be relied upon by the affected party for five years. An AJD may be appealed through the Corps administrative appeal process.

In order for the Corps and LGU to process your request, the wetland delineation must be prepared in accordance with the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, any approved Regional Supplements to the 1987 Manual, and the Guidelines for Submitting Wetland Delineations in Minnesota (2013).

Attachment B
Supporting Information for Applications Involving Exemptions, No Loss Determinations, and Activities Not Requiring Mitigation

Complete this part if you maintain that the identified aquatic resource impacts in Part Four do not require wetland replacement/compensatory mitigation OR if you are seeking verification that the proposed water resource impacts are either exempt from replacement or are not under CWA/WCA jurisdiction.

Identify the specific exemption or no-loss provision for which you believe your project or site qualifies:

Provide a detailed explanation of how your project or site qualifies for the above. Be specific and provide and refer to attachments and exhibits that support your contention. Applicants should refer to rules (e.g. WCA rules), guidance documents (e.g. BWSR guidance, Corps guidance letters/public notices), and permit conditions (e.g. Corps General Permit conditions) to determine the necessary information to support the application. Applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the WCA LGU and Corps Project Manager prior to submitting an application if they are unsure of what type of information to provide:
Attachment C
Avoidance and Minimization

Project Purpose, Need, and Requirements. Clearly state the purpose of your project and need for your project. Also include a description of any specific requirements of the project as they relate to project location, project footprint, water management, and any other applicable requirements. Attach an overhead plan sheet showing all relevant features of the project (buildings, roads, etc.), aquatic resource features (impact areas noted) and construction details (grading plans, storm water management plans, etc.), referencing these as necessary:

Avoidance. Both the CWA and the WCA require that impacts to aquatic resources be avoided if practicable alternatives exist. Clearly describe all on-site measures considered to avoid impacts to aquatic resources and discuss at least two project alternatives that avoid all impacts to aquatic resources on the site. These alternatives may include alternative site plans, alternate sites, and/or not doing the project. Alternatives should be feasible and prudent (see MN Rules 8420.0520 Subp. 2 C). Applicants are encouraged to attach drawings and plans to support their analysis:

Minimization. Both the CWA and the WCA require that all unavoidable impacts to aquatic resources be minimized to the greatest extent practicable. Discuss all features of the proposed project that have been modified to minimize the impacts to water resources (see MN Rules 8420.0520 Subp. 4):

Off-Site Alternatives. An off-site alternatives analysis is not required for all permit applications. If you know that your proposal will require an individual permit (standard permit or letter of permission) from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, you may be required to provide an off-site alternatives analysis. The alternatives analysis is not required for a complete application but must be provided during the review process in order for the Corps to complete the evaluation of your application and reach a final decision. Applicants with questions about when an off-site alternatives analysis is required should contact their Corps Project Manager.
Attachment D
Replacement/Compensatory Mitigation

Complete this part if your application involves wetland replacement/compensatory mitigation not associated with the local road wetland replacement program. Applicants should consult Corps mitigation guidelines and WCA rules for requirements.

Replacement/Compensatory Mitigation via Wetland Banking: Complete this section if you are proposing to use credits from an existing wetland bank (with an account number in the State wetland banking system) for all or part of your replacement/compensatory mitigation requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland Bank Account #</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Major Watershed #</th>
<th>Bank Service Area #</th>
<th>Credit Type (if applicable)</th>
<th>Number of Credits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicants should attach documentation indicating that they have contacted the wetland bank account owner and reached at least a tentative agreement to utilize the identified credits for the project. This documentation could be a signed purchase agreement, signed application for withdrawal of credits or some other correspondence indicating an agreement between the applicant and the bank owner. **However, applicants are advised not to enter into a binding agreement to purchase credits until the mitigation plan is approved by the Corps and LGU.**

Project-Specific Replacement/Permittee Responsible Mitigation: Complete this section if you are proposing to pursue actions (restoration, creation, preservation, etc.) to generate wetland replacement/compensatory mitigation credits for this proposed project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WCA Action Eligible for Credit</th>
<th>Corps Mitigation Compensation Technique</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Credit % Requested</th>
<th>Credits Anticipated</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Major Watershed #</th>
<th>Bank Service Area #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Refer to the name and subpart number in MN Rule 8420.0526.
2Refer to the technique listed in St. Paul District Policy for Wetland Compensatory Mitigation in Minnesota.
3If WCA and Corps crediting differs, then enter both numbers and distinguish which is Corps and which is WCA.

Explain how each proposed action or technique will be completed (e.g. wetland hydrology will be restored by breaking the tile......) and how the proposal meets the crediting criteria associated with it. Applicants should refer to the Corps mitigation policy language, WCA rule language, and all associated Corps and WCA guidance related to the action or technique:

Attach a site location map, soils map, recent aerial photograph, and any other maps to show the location and other relevant features of each wetland replacement/mitigation site. Discuss in detail existing vegetation, existing landscape features, land use (on and surrounding the site), existing soils, drainage systems (if present), and water sources and movement. Include a topographic map showing key features related to hydrology and water flow (inlets, outlets, ditches, pumps, etc.):
Attach a map of the existing aquatic resources, associated delineation report, and any documentation of regulatory review or approval. Discuss as necessary:

For actions involving construction activities, attach construction plans and specifications with all relevant details. Discuss and provide documentation of a hydrologic and hydraulic analysis of the site to define existing conditions, predict project outcomes, identify specific project performance standards and avoid adverse offsite impacts. Plans and specifications should be prepared by a licensed engineer following standard engineering practices. Discuss anticipated construction sequence and timing:

For projects involving vegetation restoration, provide a vegetation establishment plan that includes information on site preparation, seed mixes and plant materials, seeding/planting plan (attach seeding/planting zone map), planting/seeding methods, vegetation maintenance, and an anticipated schedule of activities:

For projects involving construction or vegetation restoration, identify and discuss goals and specific outcomes that can be determined for credit allocation. Provide a proposed credit allocation table tied to outcomes:

Provide a five-year monitoring plan to address project outcomes and credit allocation:

Discuss and provide evidence of ownership or rights to conduct wetland replacement/mitigation on each site:

Quantify all proposed wetland credits and compare to wetland impacts to identify a proposed wetland replacement ratio. Discuss how this replacement ratio is consistent with Corps and WCA requirements:

By signature below, the applicant attests to the following (only required if application involves project-specific/permittee responsible replacement):

- All proposed replacement wetlands were not:
  - Previously restored or created under a prior approved replacement plan or permit
  - Drained or filled under an exemption during the previous 10 years
  - Restored with financial assistance from public conservation programs
  - Restored using private funds, other than landowner funds, unless the funds are paid back with interest to the individual or organization that funded the restoration and the individual or organization notifies the local government unit in writing that the restored wetland may be considered for replacement.
- The wetland will be replaced before or concurrent with the actual draining or filling of a wetland.
- An irrevocable bank letter of credit, performance bond, or other acceptable security will be provided to guarantee successful completion of the wetland replacement.
- Within 30 days of either receiving approval of this application or beginning work on the project, I will record the Declaration of Restrictions and Covenants on the deed for the property on which the replacement wetland(s) will be located and submit proof of such recording to the LGU and the Corps.

Applicant or Representative: ___________________________ Title: ___________________________

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________

Minnesota Interagency Water Resource Application Form February 2014
Attachment E
Local Road Replacement Program Qualification

Complete this part if you are a local road authority (county highway department, city transportation department, etc.) seeking verification that your project (or a portion of your project) qualifies for the MN Local Government Road Wetland Replacement Program (LGRWRP). If portions of your project are not eligible for the LGRWRP, then Attachment D should be completed and attached to your application.

Discuss how your project is a repair, rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement of a currently serviceable road to meet state/federal design or safety standards/requirements. Applicants should identify the specific road deficiencies and how the project will rectify them. Attach supporting documents and information as applicable:

Provide a map, plan, and/or aerial photograph accurately depicting wetland boundaries within the project area. Attach associated delineation/determination report or otherwise explain the method(s) used to identify and delineate wetlands. Also attach and discuss any type of review or approval of wetland boundaries or other aspects of the project by a member or members of the local Technical Evaluation Panel (TEP) or Corps of Engineers:

In the table below, identify only the wetland impacts from Part 4 that the road authority has determined should qualify for the LGRWRP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland Impact ID (as noted on overhead view)</th>
<th>Type of Impact (fill, excavate, drain)</th>
<th>Size of Impact (square feet or acres to 0.01)</th>
<th>Existing Plant Community Type(s) in Impact Area¹</th>
<th>County, Major Watershed #, and Bank Service Area # of Impact²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Use Wetland Plants and Plant Community Types of Minnesota and Wisconsin 3rd Ed. as modified in MN Rules 8420.0405 Subp. 2.
²Refer to Major Watershed and Bank Service Area maps in MN Rules 8420.0522 Subp. 7.

Discuss the feasibility of providing onsite compensatory mitigation/replacement for important site-specific wetland functions:

Please note that under the MN Wetland Conservation Act, projects with less than 10,000 square feet of wetland impact are allowed to commence prior to submission of this notification so long as the notification is submitted within 30 days of the impact. The Clean Water Act has no such provision and requires that permits be obtained prior to any regulated discharges into water of the United States. To avoid potential unauthorized activities, road authorities must, at a minimum, provide a complete application to the Corps and receive a permit prior to commencing work.

By signature below, the road authority attests that they have followed the process in MN Rules 8420.0544 and have determined that the wetland impacts identified in Part 4 are eligible for the MN Local Government Road Wetland Replacement Program.

Road Authority Representative:__________________________Title:__________________________
Signature:__________________________Date:__________________________
TEP member: Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program? □ Yes □ No
Signature: ____________________________ Date:

TEP member: Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program? □ Yes □ No
Signature: ____________________________ Date:

TEP member: Representing:
Concur with road authority's determination of qualification for the local road wetland replacement program? □ Yes □ No
Signature: ____________________________ Date:

Upon approval and signature by the TEP, application must be sent to: Wetland Bank Administration
Minnesota Board of Water & Soil Resources
520 Lafayette Road North
Saint Paul, MN 55155
Map adapted from Wetland Delineation Report, Four Seasons Mall Site, Plymouth, Minnesota, July 2016.
Wetland Delineation Report

Four Seasons Mall Site
Plymouth, Minnesota

July 2016
Introduction

A wetland delineation was performed on June 17, 2016 of the approximately 17.3-acre residential lot located at 4108 Lancaster Lane N. in Plymouth, Minnesota, Hennepin County. It is located on the south side of Rockford Road, west of Highway 169, and northeast of Lancaster Lane N.

Existing Data

The study area is comprised of a 17.3-acre site located in Plymouth, Minnesota, in NE ¼ of Section 13, Township 118N, Range 22W. The site is the former Four Seasons Mall, an abandoned retail site that includes a strip mall structure and parking lot.

Prior to conducting the field review, an evaluation of existing data was conducted, including the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map, the Hennepin County Soil Survey, and the Protected Water Inventory (PWI) map. The NWI indicates the presence of a long, linear wetland along the north side of the site, extending along the northeast side of the site, and connecting with a large wetland area on the south side of the site. The Hennepin County Soil Survey indicates the presence Hamel, Dundas-Cordova complex, and Muskego and Houghton soils, all of which are hydric soils. The PWI does not indicate the presence of wetlands within the study area.

Methodology

Wetlands were delineated utilizing the Routine “Onsite” Determination Method contained in the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region (Version 2.0). The wetland boundaries were determined based on the evaluation of the three parameters (hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and wetland hydrology) required for an area to be defined as a jurisdictional wetland. Wetlands on the site were evaluated on July 8, 2016. Tony Kaster conducted field work, with concurrence by Jennifer Kamm (WDCP # 1253)

A representative transect was placed in an area transitioning from upland to wetland. Soil pits were generally excavated to a minimum depth of 16 inches when possible and the soil characteristics (soil matrix/mottle colors, texture, etc.) were correlated to the soil series description, along with determining the presence/absence of hydric soil indicators. The dominant floral species were visually estimated for each sample point by using areal percent cover. Hydrologic indicators were evaluated, i.e. the presence or absence of inundated and/or saturated soils, drift lines, drainage patterns, water marks, etc. Finally, the delineated wetland was classified based using the Eggers and Reed methodology. One wetland was identified within the study area. The wetland boundaries falling within the study area were flagged in the field.

Results and Discussion

Wetland 1

One contiguous wetland is present on the site. A large wetland area is located on the south side of the site, extending to the south and outside of the study area. A narrow strip of wetland extends north from the main basin. This wetland strip runs between the east side of the mall and parking lot, and the onramp from Rockford Road to southbound Hwy 169. The wetland extends along the north side of the building, south of Rockford Road. The study area boundary corresponds roughly with the wetland strip, with the west and south portions of the wetland within the study area, and the east and north portions outside the study area. The wetland transitions to upland abruptly. It appears fill material is incorporated into the
parking lot. The wetland is a shallow marsh. The main basin on the south side is dominated by hybrid cattail, tussock sedge, and reed canary grass. Wetland edge areas contain sandbar willow.
View of wetland to upland transition of wetland on south side of site. Looking west.

Wetland area on south side of site, looking south.
Wetland area on north side of site, to the south of Rockford Road, looking north.

Wetland area on northeast side of site, behind parking area on back side of building, facing northeast.
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region

Project/Site: Four Seasons Mall site
Applicant/Owner: Solution Blue
Investigator(s): T. Kaster, J. Kamm

City/County: Plymouth/Hennepin
State: Minnesota

Sampling Date: July 8, 2016
Sampling Point: Wetland 1 - Wet
Section, Township, Range: Sec 13, T 118N, R 22W

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): depression
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave
Slope (%): 2
Lat: 45.030577
Long: -93.401804
Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Muskego and Houghton soils

WVI Classification: PEM1Cd

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year? [ ] Y [ ] N
(if no, explain in remarks)

Are vegetation ______, soil ______, or hydrology ______ significantly disturbed?
Are "normal circumstances" present? [ ] Y [ ] N
(if needed, explain any answers in remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Hydropytic vegetation present? [ ] Y [ ] N
Hydric soil present? [ ] Y [ ] N
Indicators of wetland hydrology present? [ ] Y [ ] N

Is the sampled area within a wetland? [ ] Y

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Stratum (Plot size: ________ )</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Staus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 = Total Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sapling/Shrub stratum (Plot size: ________ )</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 = Total Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herb stratum (Plot size: ________ )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Carex stricta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Typha X glauca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 = Total Cover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Woody vine stratum (Plot size: ________ )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 = Total Cover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet)

Dominance Test Worksheet
Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 1 (B)
Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.00% (A/B)

Prevalence Index Worksheet
Total % Cover of:
OBL species 100 x 1 = 100
FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
Column totals 100 (A) 100 (B)
Prevalence Index = A/B = 1.00

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
X Dominance test is >50%
X Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
Morphological adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain)
*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

Hydrophytic vegetation present? [ ] Y
SOIL

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (Inches)</th>
<th>Matrix Color (moist) %</th>
<th>Redox Features Color (moist) %</th>
<th>Type*</th>
<th>Loc**</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-16</td>
<td>10YR 2/1 100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mucky peat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histis (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black hist (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)

x 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: 

- Hydric soil present? Y

Depth (inches): _______________________

Remarks:

---

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Aquatic Fauna (B13)</th>
<th>True Aquatic Plants (B14)</th>
<th>Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)</th>
<th>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</th>
<th>Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)</th>
<th>Thin Muck Surface (C7)</th>
<th>Gauge or Well Data (D9)</th>
<th>Other (Explain in Remarks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water (A1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Water Table (A2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Saturation (A3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Marks (B1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Deposits (B2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift Deposits (B3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal Mat or Crust (B4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Deposits (B5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparingly Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-Stained Leaves (B9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Surface Soil Cracks (B6)</th>
<th>Drainage Patterns (B10)</th>
<th>Dry-Season Water Table (C2)</th>
<th>Crayfish Burrows (C3)</th>
<th>Saturation Visible Aerial Imagery (C9)</th>
<th>Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)</th>
<th>Geomorphic Position (D2)</th>
<th>FAC-Neutral Test (D5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Water present?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water table present?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation present?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Field Observations:

- Surface water present? Yes No X Depth (inches):__
- Water table present? Yes X No Depth (inches):__
- Saturation present? Yes X No Depth (inches):__

Indicators of wetland hydrology present? Y

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

US Army Corps of Engineers Midwest Region
**WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Midwest Region**

Project/Site: Four Seasons Mall site  
City/County: Plymouth/Hennepin  
Sampling Date: July 8, 2016  
State: Minnesota  
Investigator(s): T. Kaster, J. Kamm  
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave  
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): rise  
Slope (%): 10  
Latitude: 45.03059  
Longitude: -93.401926  
Datum: NAD 83  
Section, Township, Range: Sec 13, T 118N, R 22W

**Soil Map Unit Name: Muskego and Houghton soils**

**VWI Classification:** PEM1Cd

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions of the site typical for this time of the year?  
Y  
(If no, explain in remarks)

Are vegetation, soil, or hydrology significantly disturbed?  
Are "normal circumstances" present?  
Y

**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrophytic vegetation present?</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydric soil present?</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicators of wetland hydrology present?</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the sampled area within a wetland?  
N

**Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)**

---

**VEGETATION -- Use scientific names of plants.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Stratum</th>
<th>(Plot size: )</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Fraxinus pennsylvanica</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Acer negundo</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Total Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sapling/Shrub stratum</th>
<th>(Plot size: )</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Rhamnus cathartica</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Total Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herb stratum</th>
<th>(Plot size: )</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Bromus inermis</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Solidago canadensis</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cirsium arvense</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>FACU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Total Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Woody vine stratum</th>
<th>(Plot size: )</th>
<th>Absolute % Cover</th>
<th>Dominant Species</th>
<th>Indicator Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Total Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Vegetation Dominance Test Worksheet**

Number of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across all Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species that are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.00% (A/B)

---

**Vegetation Prevalence Index Worksheet**

Total % Cover of:

- OBL species: 0 x 1 = 0
- FACW species: 30 x 2 = 60
- FAC species: 30 x 3 = 90
- FACU species: 100 x 4 = 400
- UPL species: 0 x 5 = 0
- Column totals: 160 (A) 550 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.44

---

**Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:**

- Rapid test for hydrophytic vegetation
  - Dominance test is >50%
  - Prevalence index is ≤3.0*
- Morphological adaptations* (provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- Problematic hydrophytic vegetation* (explain)

*Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic

**Vegetation present?** N

---

**US Army Corps of Engineers**  
Midwest Region
**SOIL**

### Profile Description:
(Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (Inches)</th>
<th>Matrix Color (moist)</th>
<th>% Color (moist)</th>
<th>% Type*</th>
<th>Loc**</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>10YR 2/1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-18</td>
<td>10YR 5/3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Type: C = Concentration, D = Depletion, RM = Reduced Matrix, MS = Masked Sand Grains. **Location: PL = Pore Lining, M = Matrix

### Hydric Soil Indicators:
- Histisol (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Black Histic (A3)
- Hydrogen Sulfate (A4)
- Stratified Layers (A5)
- 2 cm Muck (A10)
- Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3)

### Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:
- Coast Prairie Redox (A18) (LRR K, L, R)
- Dark Surface (S7) (LRR K, L)
- Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
- Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- Other (explain in remarks)

---

### Restrictive Layer (if observed):

#### Type:

- Hydric soil present? **N**

#### Remarks:
Steep slope, likely fill material.

---

**HYDROLOGY**

### Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

#### Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply):
- Surface Water (A1)
- High Water Table (A2)
- Saturation (A3)
- Water Marks (B1)
- Sediment Deposits (B2)
- Drift Deposits (B3)
- Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

#### Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required):
- Surface Soil Cracks (B8)
- Drainage Patterns (B10)
- Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- Clayfish Burrows (C8)
- Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- Geomorphic Position (D2)
- FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

#### Field Observations:
- Surface water present? **Yes**
- Water table present? **Yes**
- Saturation present? **Yes**

#### Indicators of wetland hydrology present? **N**

Describe recorded data (stream gauge, monitoring wall, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

#### Remarks: