

watershed-wide P8 modeling, hot spots and pond prioritization

December 21, 2017 Commission meeting



objectives for Bassett Creek watershedwide modeling update water quality modeling, watershed-wide, for tracking progress towards TMDL implementation goals

provide a tool for evaluating the effect of proposed projects

determine treatment effectiveness for permit requirements and prioritize BMP maintenance





updates to P8 modeling in Bassett Creek

2012-2013

- compiled/updated TMDL and management plan modeling
- consolidated into eleven separate models
- ~600 ponds/structural practices watershed-wide
- field surveyed 30 "higher priority" ponds
- simulated 2000-2011, checked against WOMP data



updates to P8 modeling in Bassett Creek

since 2014

- refined watershed delineations, addressed comments
- incorporated additional/new practices & projects
- used for feasibility studies and CIP projects
- mapped stormwater loading "hotspots" and pond prioritization for maintenance



map stormwater loading "hotspots"

targets areas for future BMP implementation by showing subwatersheds with higher pollutant loadings to receiving waters



"hot spots"
example 1:
Medicine
Lake Direct
watershed
modeling



"hot spots" example 2: Bassett Creek watershed modeling



Upstream West Watershed Flow Weighted Mean Total Phosphorus Concentration (mg/L) 0.215 - 0.356 0.169 - 0.214

0.134 - 0.168

0.052 - 0.133 0.037 - 0.051

pond prioritization

creates inspection lists/maps to help municipalities target ponds as "highest priority" for maintenance to protect downstream resources



MS4 permit

pollution prevention/ good housekeeping for municipal operations

pond assessment procedures and schedule

- develop procedures and a schedule for determining TSS and TP treatment effectiveness of permittee's ponds constructed/used for stormwater treatment
- schedule (which can exceed permit term) based on measurable goals and priorities established by permittee



ranking methodology:

two essential factors that guide maintenance priority:

water quality impact of feature [**effective removal**] how quickly the feature is filling due to sedimentation [percent-filled per year]

assessment prioritization ranking









ranking methodology: %-filled per year

"percent filled per year" quantifies how quickly ponds & wetlands are filling with sediment.

computed based on P8 results:



[sed. vol] / [dead storage vol.] = % filled per year

assessment prioritization ranking process calculate effective removal (previous slides);

2. calculate percent-filled per year (previous slides);

3. independently rank both parameters; and

4. combine independent ranking to form final prioritization rank (equal weighting) assessment prioritization ranking process (cont'd)

3. independently rank both parameters; and

4. combine independent ranking to form final prioritization rank (equal weighting)

				Rank:			
	Percent	Annual		Annual		Final	
	filled	Effective	Rank:	Effective		Rank	
	per	TSS	Percent	TSS		(1 =	
Device	year	Removal	filled per	Removal	Rank	highest	
Name	(%)	(lbs/yr)	year (%)	(lbs/yr)	Sum	priority)	
NB-07	11.43%	15,159	1	17	18	1	
PL-P7	5.26%	15,264	5	16	21	2	
BC47	1.81%	23,729	17	11	28	3	
BC-							BARR
HH12322-6	2.78%	14,039	10	19	29	4	
BC27A-1B	4.64%	12,465	6	26	32	5	
1	1	1			1		

assessment prioritization ranking results

ranked 600+ stormwater ponds and wetlands

priority often related to development / BMP density*



summary



consistent, watershed-wide modeling provides method for

- BCWMC and MS4s to properly account for stormwater management effects on impaired waters— "measurable goals"
- track progress and prioritize BMP implementation—capital improvements planning



summary



benefits of modeling for pond assessments

- identifies BMPs with limited treatment effectiveness and/or vulnerability to deterioration over time
- enables permittees to prioritize or schedule maintenance activities



Questions?









ranking methodology: effective removal





ranking methodology: effective removal



