



Feasibility Report for Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization and Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement Projects

Plymouth, Minnesota



Prepared for Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission

May 2020



4300 MarketPointe Drive, Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55435 Phone: 952.832.2600 Fax: 952.832.2601

Feasibility Report for Mt. Olivet Stream Stabilization Project and Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement Project

May 2020

Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Project Definition and Site Characteristics	1
1.2.	.1 Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project Area	2
1.2.	.2 Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Improvements Project Area	2
1.3	Project Alternatives	3
1.4	Relationship to Watershed Management Plan	4
1.5	Project Impacts	5
1.6	Recommendations	6
2.0	Background and Objectives	8
2.1	Past Documents and Activities Addressing the Project Areas	10
2.1	.1 BCWMC Watershed Management Plan (2015)	10
2.1	.2 Medicine Lake Excess Nutrients TMDL (2011)	10
2.1	.3 Parkers Lake Excess Chlorides (2016)	10
2.1	.4 Parkers Lake 2018 Water Quality Monitoring Report (2018)	10
2.2	Project Area Description	11
2.2.	.1 Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization	11
2.2.	.2 Parkers Lake Drainage Improvements	14
2	2.2.2.1 Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization	14
2	2.2.2.2 Parkers Lake Water Quality Improvement	15
2.3	Goals and Objectives	18
2.3	.1 Scope	19
2.3	.2 Considerations	20
3.0	Site Characteristics	21
3.1	Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project and Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement Project Watersheds	21
3.1	.1 Surrounding Land Use	21
Э	3.1.1.1 Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization	21

P:\Mpls\23 MN\27\2327051\WorkFiles\CIP\Capital Projects\2021 Parkers Mount Olivet Stabilization\Feasibility Study\Report\Mt.Olivet_Parkers Feasibility Report_Draft_May2020_v8.docx

	3.1	.1.2	Parkers Lake Stream	21
3.	.1.2	Stre	am Geomorphic Assessment	23
	3.1	.2.1	Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization	23
	3.1	.2.2	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization	25
3.	.1.3	Hist	orical Channel Alignments	28
3.2		Site Ad	cess	29
3.3		Enviro	nmental Site Investigation	30
3.4		Тород	raphic, Utility, and Tree Surveys	33
3.5		Wetlar	nds	34
3.6		Threat	ened and Endangered Species	35
3.	.6.1	Fed	erally Listed Species	35
3.	.6.2	Stat	e-Listed Species	36
3.7		Cultura	al and Historical Resources	39
4.0	St	akehol	der Input	41
4.1		Projec	t Kickoff Meeting with BCWMC Staff and City of Plymouth Representatives	41
4.2		Techni	cal Stakeholder/Agency Meeting	41
4.3		Public	Meeting	41
4.	.3.1	Pub	lic Meeting Follow-up	42
5.0	Po	otential	Improvement Methods and Techniques	43
5.1		Descri	otion of Stream Stabilization Alternatives	43
5.	.1.1	Har	d Armoring and Bioengineering Stream Stabilization Techniques	43
5.	.1.2	Stre	am Stabilization Techniques Evaluated	44
5.2		Descri	otion of Water Quality Alternatives	46
6.0	Pr	oject C	oncepts	48
6.1		Analyz	ed Alternatives for Mount Olivet Stream Restoration Project	49
6.	.1.1	Alte	rnative 1— Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization	49
6.	.1.2	Alte	rnative 2— Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization	52
6.2		Analyz	ed Alternatives for Parkers Lake Drainage and Water Quality Improvement Project	55
6.	.2.1	Alte	rnative 1— Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization	55
6.	.2.2	Alte	rnative 2 — Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization	58
6.	.2.3	Alte	rnative 3 — Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization	61
6.	.2.4	Alte	rnative 4 — Water Quality Improvement (Iron-Enhanced Bioretention Filtration System	m) 64
6.	.2.5	Alte	rnatives 5a and 5b — Water Quality Improvement (Wet Retention Ponds)	66

	6.2.6	Alternative 6 — Water Quality Improvement (Chloride Management)	68
7.0	Pr	oject Impacts	71
7.	1	Easement Acquisition	71
7.	2	Permits Required for the Project	71
	7.2.1	Section 404 Permit	71
	7.2.2	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) Permits	72
	7.2.3	Public Waters Work Permit	72
	7.2.4	Minnesota Environmental Assessment Worksheet	72
	7.2.5	Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act	73
	7.2.6	Local Permits	73
7.	3	Temporary Closure	73
7.	4	Other Project Impacts	73
	7.4.1	Tree Loss	73
	7.4.2	Impacts to Bats	74
	7.4.3	Sanitary Sewer	74
	7.4.4	Impacts to Parkers Lake Community Playfields and Clifton E. French Regional Park	74
8.0	Pr	oject Modeling Results and Anticipated Pollutant Removals	75
8.	1	Hydrologic, Hydraulic, and Water Quality Modeling	75
	8.1.1	Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modeling	75
	8.1.2	Water Quality Modeling	76
8.	2	Anticipated Pollutant Removals	77
	8.2.1	Pollutant Removals—Stream Alternatives	77
	8.2.2	Pollutant Removals—Stormwater Alternatives	81
9.0	Pr	oject Cost Considerations	82
9.	1	Cost Estimates	82
	9.1.1	Chloride Reduction Costs	85
	9.1.2	Temporary Easements	85
	9.1.3	Off-Site Sediment Disposal	86
	9.1.4	Wetland Mitigation	86
	9.1.5	Tree Replacement and Revegetation	86
	9.1.6	30-Year Cost	86
	9.1.7	Annualized Pollutant Reduction Cost	87
	9.1.8	Miscellaneous Costs	87
9.	2	Funding Sources	87

9.3	Project Schedule	87
10.0	Alternatives Assessment and Recommendations	88
10.1	Overview	88
10.2	Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization	88
10.3	Parkers Lake Drainage Improvements	88
11.0	References	90

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Feasibility Study Project Area Definitions	2
Table 1-2	Feasibility Study Alternative Summary	4
Table 1-3	Recommended Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Improvement Project Alterna	tives
	Cost Summary	7
Table 2-1	Parkers Lake Watershed Chloride Loading	11
Table 3-1	Tree Survey Summary by Project Area	34
Table 3-2	Wetland Summary by Project Area	35
Table 3-3	Rare Species Documented within 1 mile of Proposed Mount Olivet Stream Stabilizati	on
	Project Site According to MDNR NHIS	37
Table 5-1	Project Design Elements	45
Table 6-1	Feasibility Study Alternatives Summary	48
Table 7-1	Potential Permit Requirements by Work Site	71
Table 8-1	Hydraulic Model Results by Project Site for the 100-Year, 24-Hour Event	75
Table 8-2	Published Threshold Values for Selected Stream Bank and Stream Bed Materials	76
Table 8-3	Estimated Existing Bank Erosion and Pollutant Loading at Mount Olivet Site	79
Table 8-4	Estimated Existing Bank Erosion and Pollutant Loading at Parkers Lake Site	80
Table 8-5	Estimated Pollutant Removal by Stormwater Management Alternatives at Parkers Lak	ke
	Community Playfields	81
Table 9-1	Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project Alternatives Cost Summary	83
Table 9-2	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Improvement Project Alternative	es
	Cost Summary	84
Table 9-3	Planning Level Unit Costs for Implementation of Chloride Reduction Projects	85
Table 10-1	Recommended Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Improvement Project Alterna	tives
	Cost Summary	89

List of Figures

Figure 2-1	Feasibility Study Project Area	9
Figure 2-2	Mount Olivet Project Area	13
Figure 2-3	Parkers Lake Project Area	
Figure 2-4	Parkers Lake Project Area	17
Figure 3-1	Mount Olivet and Parkers Lake Watershed Land Use	22
Figure 3-2	Mount Olivet Erosion Example (broken concrete causing stream to erode bank	< at left)24
Figure 3-3	Mount Olivet Erosion Example (bank erosion)	24
Figure 3-4	Mount Olivet Erosion Example (bank erosion)	25
Figure 3-5	Mount Olivet Erosion Example 4 (bank erosion)	25
Figure 3-6	Parkers Lake Erosion Example	27
Figure 3-7	Parkers Lake Erosion Example	27
Figure 3-8	Parkers Lake Erosion Example	

Figure 3-9	Parkers Lake Erosion Example	28
Figure 3-10	Mount Olivet Historical Channel Alignment (current vs 1940)	29
Figure 3-11	Parkers Lake Historical Channel Alignment (current vs 1940)	29
Figure 3-12	Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Environmental Review	31
Figure 3-13	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Environmental Review	32
Figure 3-14	Threatened and Endangered Species	38
Figure 3-15	Cultural Resources	40
Figure 6-1	Mount Olivet Alternative 1 (north)	50
Figure 6-2	Mount Olivet Alternative 1 (south)	51
Figure 6-3	Mount Olivet Alternative 2 (north)	53
Figure 6-4	Mount Olivet Alternative 2 (south)	54
Figure 6-5	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 1 (north)	56
Figure 6-6	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 1 (south)	57
Figure 6-7	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 2 (north)	59
Figure 6-8	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 2 (south)	60
Figure 6-9	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 3 (north)	62
Figure 6-10	Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 3 (south)	63
Figure 6-11	Parkers Lake Water Quality Improvement Alternative 4	65
Figure 6-12	Parkers Lake Water Quality Improvement Alternative 5a and 5b	67
Figure 6-13	Parkers Lake Water Quality Alternative 6	70

List of Appendices

- Appendix A 2019 Stream Erosion Site Photos
- Appendix B Tree Survey Results
- Appendix C Wetland Delineation and Notice of Decision
- Appendix D Archeological Reconnaissance Survey
- Appendix E Detailed Cost Estimates

1.0 Executive Summary

1.1 Background

The Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project in the City of Plymouth will reduce erosion, total suspended solids, and phosphorous loading to Medicine Lake. The lake is a state-listed impaired water for excess nutrients, with an approved total maximum daily load (TMDL) plan, and a Priority-1 water body of the Bassett Creek Watershed.

This project meets two gatekeeper criteria (as defined in the BCWMC Watershed Management Plan, Reference (1)), including improving/protecting water quality in a priority water body and addressing an approved TMDL. In addition to gatekeeper criteria, this project also protects previous Commission investments in Medicine Lake, addresses erosion and sedimentation issues, and addresses Commission goals of improved water quality, aesthetics, and wildlife habitat.

The Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement Project in the City of Plymouth will reduce erosion, total suspended solids, and phosphorous loading to Parkers Lake, a Priority-1 water body of the Bassett Creek Watershed. Additionally, the project may reduce chloride loads to Parkers Lake, a state-listed impaired water for chlorides with an approved TMDL.

This project meets the gatekeeper criteria of improving/protecting water quality in a priority water body and addressing an approved TMDL. In addition to gatekeeper criteria, this project also enhances previous Commission investments intended to protect Parkers Lake and addresses erosion/sedimentation issues and the Commission goals of improved water quality, aesthetics, and wildlife habitat.

1.2 Project Definition and Site Characteristics

This feasibility report includes proposed projects at two separate locations within the Bassett Creek Watershed. The Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project is located adjacent to Mount Olivet Lutheran Church in Plymouth, MN. This project site will be called the Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization project area throughout this text.

The Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement project is located within the Parkers Lake Community Playfields in Plymouth, MN. This project includes two subprojects involving the restoration of the stream and implementation of stormwater water quality improvement projects. The stormwater water quality improvement projects are further divided into the implementation of physical best management practices and strategies for chloride reduction in the contributing watershed. The two primary project components will be called the Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization and Parkers Lake Water Quality improvements throughout this text.

See Table 1-1 for a summary of the project area definitions.

 Table 1-1
 Feasibility Study Project Area Definitions

Project Definitions					
Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project					
Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization—Restoration of eroding stream features					
Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement Project					
Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization—Restoration of eroding stream features Parkers Lake Water Quality Improvements—Improvements to stormwater water quality 1) Best management practices 2) Chloride reduction strategies					

1.2.1 Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project Area

The Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project area is located in and along an unnamed stream in the City of Plymouth. The project area borders Mount Olivet Lutheran Church to the west, Old Rockford Road to the north, an apartment complex to the east, and a pond in Clifton E. French Regional Park of Three Rivers Park District (TRPD) to the south. The stream feeds into wetland areas upstream of Medicine Lake. During the non-winter months, the naturally ephemeral stream generally has fairly consistent, low flows with high, flashy flows during rain events due to the steep slopes in the ravine, minimal access to a floodplain, and receiving significant amounts of stormwater runoff; in the winter, the stream freezes over. The Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project area extends just over 1,000 feet south along the stream from Mount Olivet Lutheran Church. Erosion of the channel banks is moderate-to-high and primarily confined to isolated sections with 4- to 5-foot-high vertical eroding faces. This feasibility study identifies four reaches for evaluation, based on physical and geomorphic distinguishing features.

1.2.2 Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Improvements Project Area

The Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Project area is located within Parkers Lake Community Playfields, upstream of Parkers Lake. This feasibility study evaluates the restoration of stream features and reviews alternatives for improving the water quality of runoff from the park and contributing watershed.

The stream has experienced significant erosion along most of the reach. The channel bed has lowered by approximately 2 to 3 feet since the contributing storm sewer was installed. The stream exhibits limited geomorphic features that are characteristic of healthy streams and riparian habitat, such as riffles, runs, and pools. Similar to the stream Mount Olivet at the Stream Stabilization Project area, this naturally ephemeral stream generally has fairly consistent, low flows with high, flashy flows during rain events due to the minimal access to a floodplain and receiving a significant amount of stormwater runoff during non-winter months; in the winter, the stream freezes over.

Parkers Lake Community Playfields has open space available for the implementation of projects that would improve the water quality of stormwater leaving the site. The implementation of physical best management projects within these open-space areas would reduce the total phosphorus (TP) and total suspended solids (TSS) reaching Parkers Lake.

Additionally, Parkers Lake is listed as impaired for chlorides. TRPD, in partnership with the City of Plymouth, collected monitoring data at two stations within the Parkers Lake watershed. The monitoring data shows that the northern watershed tributary to Parkers Lake is the primary source of chlorides to the lake. This feasibility study identifies and evaluates a chloride-reduction demonstration project to help reduce chloride loads to Parkers Lake.

1.3 **Project Alternatives**

This feasibility study evaluates alternatives for the stabilization of the Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project area, the stabilization of the Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Project area, and improved stormwater management in the Parkers Lake tributary area within the Parkers Lake Community Playfields (including chloride management in the larger tributary watershed north of Parkers Lake).

The measures considered for potential stream stabilization improvements include the following:

- Re-meandering the stream channel
- Restoring the vegetative buffer
- Restoring existing wetlands
- Grading stream banks and opening the tree canopy
- Installing a variety of stream stabilization measures, including riprap, root wads and toe wood, vegetated reinforced soil stabilization (VRSS), rock or log vanes, and stone toe protection
- Removing debris
- Replacing stream with storm sewer

The measures considered for improved stormwater management include a variety of best management practices (BMPs):

- Bioretention with iron-enhanced filtration media
- Wet retention ponds
- Chloride management opportunities

A summary of alternatives is provided in Table 1-2.

Alternative	Description				
Mount Olivet Strea	m Stabilization Project				
Alternative 1Stream stabilization utilizing bio-engineering techniques, wetland restoration, of a manhole drop structure at the Mount Olivet Church parking lot					
Alternative 2	Stream stabilization utilizing bio-engineering techniques, stream re-meandering, and installation of hard armoring/riprap at the Mount Olivet Church parking lot				
Parkers Lake Draina	age Improvement Project				
Alternative 1 Stream stabilization by conveying flow through a pipe rather than through the channel					
Alternative 2	Stream stabilization utilizing a standard hard-armoring approach				
Alternative 3	Stream stabilization utilizing bio-engineering techniques				
Alternative 4	Diversion of low flows from the existing storm sewer system to an iron-enhanced bioretention filtration system				
Alternative 5a/5b	Opportunities for a wet retention pond in open space along the existing stream alignment through the Parkers Lake Community Playfields site				
Alternative 6	Chloride demonstration projects in the northern watershed tributary to Parkers Lake to reduce salt usage and chloride loads to the lake				

Table 1-2	Feasibility Study	Alternative	Summary

Sections 5.0 and 6.0 provide more detailed discussion of the measures considered and alternatives evaluated.

1.4 Relationship to Watershed Management Plan

The Bassett Creek Watershed Management Commission (BCWMC) included the Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Project and the Parkers Lake Drainage Improvement Project in its Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), based on the following "gatekeeper" policy from the BCWMC Plan. The items in bold italics directly apply to these projects.

- 110. The BCWMC will consider including projects in the CIP that meet one or more of the following "gatekeeper" criteria.
 - Project is part of the BCWMC trunk system (see Section 2.8.1, Figure 2-14 and Figure 2-15 of the report)
 - Project improves or protects water quality in a priority waterbody
 - Project addresses an approved TMDL or watershed restoration and protection strategy (WRAPS)
 - Project addresses flooding concern

The BCWMC will use the following criteria, in addition to those listed above, to aid in the prioritization of projects:

- Project protects or restores previous Commission investments in infrastructure
- Project addresses intercommunity drainage issues
- Project addresses erosion and sedimentation issues
- Project will address multiple Commission goals (e.g., water quality, runoff volume, aesthetics, wildlife habitat, recreation, etc.)
- Subwatershed draining to project includes more than one community
- Addresses significant infrastructure or property damage concerns

The BCWMC will place a higher priority on projects that incorporate multiple benefits and will seek opportunities to incorporate multiple benefits into BCWMC projects, as opportunities allow.

This project meets several gatekeeper criteria—the project will improve water quality as its primary goal by reducing the amount of sediment and pollutants (including chlorides) that enter Medicine Lake and Parkers Lake. This project will also help address multiple BCWMC goals by enhancing water quality and improving wildlife habitat.

1.5 Project Impacts

Section 7.0 and Section 8.0 discuss the potential impacts resulting from the stabilization and stormwater management projects, which include tree removals and temporary wetland impacts. Tree removal will be limited to only those necessary to complete the project, and trees will be replaced as appropriate. For the streambank stabilization projects, the removed trees will be re-used as part of the project's stabilization features.

The proposed stream stabilization projects will result in reduced stream bank erosion and, therefore, reduced sediment and phosphorus loading to the downstream wetland, pond, and lakes. The water quality improvement project in the Parkers Lake watershed will reduce sediment loads, phosphorus loads, and/or chloride loads to Parkers Lake. Section 8.0 presents the estimated existing erosion rates and

pollutant loading and the pollutant load reductions. The estimated pollutant load reductions for the recommended projects are summarized in the following section.

1.6 Recommendations

Based on review of the project impacts; feedback from residents, representatives of the City of Plymouth, and regulators; the overall project costs and benefits; and existing water quality improvement needs; the BCWMC Engineer recommends the following projects:

- Alternative 1 for the Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization (stream stabilization with bioengineering wetland restoration, and manhole structure)
- Alternative 3 for the Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization (stream stabilization with bioengineering)
- Alternative 6 for the Parkers Lake Water Quality Improvements (chloride reduction demonstration project for the northern tributary watershed)

Table 1-3, below, shows the planning-level estimated costs for the recommended alternatives. We recommend that the BCWMC use the opinion of cost identified in this study to develop a levy request for the recommended combination of projects and that it proceed to design and construction. The BCWMC CIP funding (ad valorem tax levied by Hennepin County on behalf of the BCWMC) will be the sole source of funding for these projects.

Table 1-3Recommended Stream Stabilization and Water Quality Improvement Project
Alternatives Cost Summary

	Project Cost Estimate ⁽¹⁾	Annualized Cost ⁽²⁾	Total Phosphorus (TP) Loading		Total Suspended Sediment (TSS) Loading	
Alternative Description			Load Reduction (lb/yr)	Cost/lb/yr Reduced ⁽³⁾	Load Reduction (lb/yr)	Cost/lb/yr Reduced ⁽³⁾
Mount Olivet Stream Stabilization Alternative 1. Bio- engineering, wetland restoration, and manhole structure	\$134,000 (\$107,000– \$174,000)	\$10,000	5.3	\$1,892	10,560	\$0.95
Parkers Lake Stream Stabilization Alternative 3. Bio- engineering	\$113,000 (\$90,000– \$147,000)	\$8,000	20.1	\$399	40,140	\$0.20
Parkers Lake Water Quality Alternative 6. Chloride management	\$300,000	Chloride reduction strategies may have limited impact on TP and TSS load reductions; however, data compiled from the MPCA suggests that implementation of smart salting recommendations can result in 30–70% reductions in chloride use. Although chloride usage can vary significantly from year to year based on the climatic conditions, based on the monitoring data from TRPD, this could reduce chloride loading to Parkers Lake on average by 163 – 380 lbs chloride per acre of watershed per year.				
 A Class 4 screening-level opinion of probable cost, as defined by the American Association of Cost Engineers International (AACI International), has been prepared for these alternatives. The opinion of probable construction cost provided in this table 						

(1) A Class 4 screening-level opinion of probable cost, as defined by the American Association of Cost Engineers International (AACI International), has been prepared for these alternatives. The opinion of probable construction cost provided in this table is based on the Commission Engineer's experience and qualifications and represents our best judgment as experienced and qualified professionals familiar with the project. The cost opinion is based on project-related information available to the Commission Engineer at this time and includes a conceptual-level design of the project. It includes 20% project contingency and 30% for planning, engineering, design, and construction administration. Lower bound assumed at -20% and upper bound assumed at +30%.

(2) Assumed to be 15% of the total project cost for annual maintenance plus replacement cost associated with major repairs and the initial project cost distributed evenly over a 30-year project lifespan.

(3) Annualized cost divided by estimated annual pollution load reduction.



